					Is this	Method of engagement	Did this person/organiza				
Identifier (i.e., Patient A, Employee B, Respondent 1) or Name (only if requested by stakeholder)	Organization (if applicable)	Date(s) of outreach	What required stakeholder group did they represent?	If other, please describe	person/organization a resident of the project's	(i.e., phone calls, community forums, focus groups, surveys, etc.)	tion participate in the meaningful engagement?		Did this person/organization provide a statement?	If permission is granted to share a statement or quote (250 word max), please include below:	If permission is not granted to share a verbatim statement, please include a summary of the statement(s) below:
										"NYC DOHMH representatives don't identify major concerns with NYU Langone Brooklyn's request to decertify 10 inpatient rehabilitation beds (reducing capacity to 20), given the current utilization and the hospitals'	
										broader development plans.  The assessment documents a 70% decline in local rehabilitation volume and we learned the facility usually dosen't utilize 20 beds simultaneously. However, in the event NYULH exceeds capacity, they must have plans to support planetin sequiting in parient metabilitation and their certainers support planetin sequiting in parient metabilitation and their certainers assistance when necessary. We learned NYULH often has capacity in Manhattan, and if the facility exceeds capacity, they titransfer the most 'convenient' patients there. The facility should clarify how it will determine who can conveniently be transferred, orphicitizing patient needs. Convenience shouldn't be determined in a way that disproportionately results in patients from medically underserved groups being transferred. And when individual are transferred, their best interest must be prioritized in determining the receiving facility.	
										While the facility doesn't articipate payer mix changing, improvements may attract new patients. NYULH should closely analyze whether payer mix changes at the expense of medically underserved populations. If additional patients result in exceeding their capacity, NYULH must ensure medically underserved groups aren't disproportionately subject to longer waits or transfers.	
David States	LIVO DOLINIL					7				DOHMH understands this project takes place in the context of broader plans to add hematology/oncology and coronary care units. While this will add critical capabilities, we look forward to discussing these HEIAs to ensure a	
Becca Friedman Caregiver 001	NYC DOHMH	11-Ap 9-Ap	or public health experts or residents of the facility's service area		no yes	Zoom interview In-person interview	yes yes	neutral yes	yes yes	holistic, equitable perspective is applied to the developments as a whole."  I support it.	
Constitute 002		0.4=	or residents of the facility's service area			In accordance in the state of t				So it would definitely benefit the nurses area to take care of the patient. You have one person watching you, one person that works for you. So yeah, they could definitely benefit all the way the threapists that all of them benefit from it. I think there's more other people that they could also hire to help, I think	
Caregiver 002		9-Ap	residents of the facility's service area		yes	In-person interview	yes	yes	yes	it's a good idea.	
											The representative emphasized that effective inpatient rehabilitation requires through evaluations beyond standard threapies, including identifying cognitive and vision issues. Strong communication between the care team and families, early discharge planning, and seamless transitions to outpatient care were identified as essential for patient success. Concerns were neised about the prosposed reduction in rehab beds, especially given the existing limitations in local capacity. The representative noted that patients, particularly those with Medicaid or limited resources, afready face challenges accessing top-tier care nearby. Barriers such as transportation, work constraints, and lack of availability at hospital-beds facilities can lead to patients being sent for from home or placed in less optimal settings.
CBO 001 CBO 002		3/21; 3/26; 3/3	31 community leaders		yes	Zoom interview	yes	no	no		poorer outcomes, especially for patients with serious conditions like strokes or traumatic brain injuries. Reducing bed availability risks deepening health inequities for medically underserved populations in Brooklyn.
CBO 002		3/24; 4/3	community leaders		yes	Zoom interview	yes	no	yes	I'm against the proposed project because NYU stands above.  "NYC DOHMH representatives don't identify major concerns with NYU	
Emma Clippinger	NYC DOHMH	11-Ap	r public health experts		по	Zoom interview	yes	neutral	) yes	Name of the control o	
										"I think moving the services, it brings a greater good. Actually, we have the space over there that can better serve like, you know, the spaces there, I	
Internal Stakeholder 001		3/21; 3/24	employees		yes	Zoom interview	ves	yes	yes	spaced over more that Lat Joseph source share leady, supporting Welfer garmen him it is gomen be the care is ground be safe, appropriate. We're garmen him it is gomen and the same area of the safe share of the safe share of communication is usual continue with same ensuring that there's no language barriers or communication issues coverage will continue the same. In sure, Soil doort see like a big impact. However, the shortage, I do think I don't know how that's going to impact. I'm not fermiliar with that at the end of the day, I think this will be the greater good for our patients, because, like, you know, only patients are continuing to come in sicker and sicker, and there's a need for additional like, you know, expansion of services. So I think this will be, bring a greater good to the hospitals. Ut again, it's just from my perspective."	

					1	1	Did this		1	T	1
							person/organiza				
Identifier (i.e., Patient A. Employee					Is this person/organization a	Method of engagement (i.e., phone calls.	tion participate in the	Is this person/organization	Did this		
B, Respondent 1) or Name (only if	Organization (if	Date(s) of	What required stakeholder group did they		resident of the project's	community forums, focus	meaningful	supportive of this	person/organization	If permission is granted to share a statement or quote (250 word max),	If permission is not granted to share a verbatim statement, please include a
requested by stakeholder)	applicable)	outreach	represent?	If other, please describe	service area?	groups, surveys, etc.)	engagement?	project?	provide a statement?	please include below:	summary of the statement(s) below:
											consistent communication at all stages of care, from admission to discharge.  Coordination between care teams and timely discharge planning were cited as
											essential, particularly for families with limited resources. The unit was
											described as responsive to feedback, with digital initiatives in place to address patient concerns.
											Key equity concerns included language access, financial barriers to
											recommended equipment, and challenges patients face adhering to post-
											discharge care. The importance of social work in understanding home
											environments and coordinating home-based supports was highlighted, especially for those without family caregivers.
											Regarding the proposed move, the stakeholder believed the new space would
											better support rehabilitation needs. While acknowledging the reduction in
											beds, they saw potential for more focused care and improved resource
											coordination. No significant health equity impacts were anticipated, although they recommended monitoring patient volume and wait times as part of future
Internal Stakeholder 002		3/21; 3/27	employees		yes	Zoom interview	yes	yes	no		planning.
											The representative highlighted the close integration between the hospital's
											stroke unit and the rehabilitation unit as a key strength. Patients benefit from
											seamless transitions and proximity to the original care team, which is highly valued in the Jewish community. Rusk Brooklyn was described as a trusted,
											culturally sensitive provider, with accommodations such as kosher food,
											Shabbat observance, and staff familiar with religious customs contributing to a
					1						
					1						Concerns were raised about the proposed reduction in beds. The representative noted this would limit access to an already limited supply of
					1						acute rehab services in Brooklyn, forcing some patients to seek care farther
					1						from home. This presents significant challenges for observant families, particularly during religious holidays when walking proximity is essential.
											While the current program is praised for its quality, cutting beds was viewed as a setback to growth efforts and as potentially harmful to equitable access
Internal Stakeholder 003		3/25; 4/1	employees		yes	Zoom interview	yes	yes	no		for community members who depend on local, culturally competent care.
										"The proposed statement from a health equity lens that we are proposing, to	
										move acute rehab into Augustana, is only going to add more value directly	
										for patients. It's going to provide better care. It's also going to increase our space for us to have more patients that are coming directly through	
										emergency room to serve the population in Sunset Park area. Our goal as an	
										organization is just to make sure that there are 3 underlying things that we take at utmost length is the quality that we do. It will increase our quality	
										across the board for patients not staying in the ED. It will also increase our	
Internal Stakeholder 004		3/24: 4/1: 4/2				7				safety, and it will definitely increase our patient experience for the population within our neighborhood."	
Internal Stakeholder 004		3/24, 4/1, 4/2	employees		yes	Zoom interview	yes	yes	yes	"My statement is really Rusk provides amazing services to this community,	
Internal Stakeholder 005		3/24; 3/27	employees		ves	Zoom interview	ves	ves	ves	regardless if it's on this side or across the street. They will get the same wonderful services that Rusk provides."	
								ľ	ľ		
											The stakeholder emphasized the importance of timely access to inpatient rehab, especially for stroke patients, whose recovery is most responsive within
											the first six weeks. The integration of acute and rehab services on-site allows
											seamless transitions, immediate physician access, and continuity of care from providers familiar with the patient's history. The shared staffing model
											between units was noted as a key strength, supporting patient rapport and
											efficient intervention.
											Concerns were raised about the proposed reduction in rehab beds, which may
											delay admissions, especially for uninsured patients who currently benefit from charity care arrangements. Fewer beds could reduce the hospital's ability to
											offer this support, limiting access to critical rehabilitation for vulnerable
											patients.
											The stakeholder also noted that relocating to another building would add time
											to interdepartmental response, potentially complicating urgent assessments.  They expressed strong reservations about the move, citing negative
Internal Stakeholder 006		3/24; 3/27; 4/1	employees		yes	Phone interview	yes	no	no		implications for equity, care continuity, and patient-family experience.
					1			[			
					1						The stakeholder described the inpatient rehab unit as serving a highly diverse,
											low-income population with complex needs. Social work plays a central role in supporting patients and caregivers, coordinating discharge plans, advocating
											for home care services, navigating insurance systems, and addressing
									1		financial or logistical barriers. Caregiver capacity and health literacy—particularly regarding medication and follow-up care—were identified
					1						as critical factors in a successful discharge.
					1						Equity concerns included limited access to transportation, overcrowded living
									1		situations, and patients' fear of institutional settings, especially among
					1						undocumented individuals. A lack of outdoor space and natural light was noted as contributing to early discharge requests, while family support and
					1						caregiver availability remained key challenges.
					1						The stakeholder expressed concern about the proposed reduction in beds,
					1						which would limit access to acute rehab and negatively impact patient safety and care continuity. While the relocation may offer benefits such as improved
Internal Stakeholder 007		3/24; 3/27; 4/1	employees	<u></u>	yes	Phone interview	yes	neutral	no		and care continuity. While the relocation may offer benefits such as improved space and gym access, it also presents logistical challenges for care teams.
										I would like to say that to me this is impossible for them to be taking the	
					1					space away from us. I think, instead of taking it away, it should be granted that they have the same space, or even more, because there are	
									1	more people who are living more years nowadays than before, and that	
Patient 001		4/2: 4/4	residents of the facility's service area		ves	Phone interview	ves	l <sub>no</sub>	ves	would be wonderful to be able to see people with little hope get so much out of life.	
		1 42, 44	1 or tro recently a solving aloa		13-0	1	,,	1	13-0	percentage and the percentage an	1

					1						
Identifier (i.e., Patient A, Employee					Is this person/organization a	Method of engagement (i.e., phone calls,	Did this person/organiza tion participate in the	Is this	Did this		
B, Respondent 1) or Name (only if requested by stakeholder)	Organization (if applicable)	Date(s) of outreach	What required stakeholder group did they represent?	If other, please describe	resident of the project's	community forums, focus groups, surveys, etc.)	meaningful engagement?		person/organization provide a statement?	If permission is granted to share a statement or quote (250 word max), please include below:	If permission is not granted to share a verbatim statement, please include a summary of the statement(s) below:
Patient 002		4/4: 4/7	residents of the facility's service area		ves	Zoom interview	ves	no	ves	I'm against the move of the rehab facility, for obvious reasons. There'll be less beds. Granted maybe the rooms are a little bigger, but more beds are more important than having more room in the bedrooms. At this point l'vailet 2 days to get my bed the 1st time on the rehab floor, and I was very lucky, and I was very gratefut, and that made a huge difference in my life. For the sake of the community and the people who have less support, I would definitely advocate for leaving the facility the way it is, with more beds and even more staffer.	
Patient 003			residents of the facility's service area		yes	In-person interview	yes	yes	yes	"I think it is a good idea if they want to move to a better location, and if they're moving to a better location would be improved in the new location. I'm sure they also get ideas from this. Build them, too. It's just like in school you love your roommates, you have good grades, everyfring is going good. One morning they said, neet week, I'll move in Room 106. I don't know what's in 10a. Of 6. I'm in 102, and I know what's in 10a. Good on't like changes, so difficult time to get people to adapt to changes. They usually many times, they're not looking at the big picture the improvement, the benefits that you're gorning all moving from A to B."	
											The patient described their experience in the inpatient rehab unit as very positive, noting high-quality care, personal attention from staff, and access to encessary threapies and equipment. Despite underging dialysis multiple times a week, they reported overall satisfaction with the services provided. Admission to the unit was initially delayed due to insurance issues, but the patient credited their daughter's advocacy for resolving the matter. They emphasized the importance of having someone to advocate for access, expressing concern that others without such support might face barriers to care.
Patient 004		9-Api	residents of the facility's service area		ves	In-person interview	ves	neutral	no		Regarding the proposed relocation and reduction in beds, the patient expressed concern for future patients, noting that reducing the number of beds from 30 to 20 may limit access to critical services, particularly given the existing waitlist. They felt that these changes could negatively impact all patients equally, regardless of background, if they cannot be admitted when care is needed.
Patient 005		9-Api	r residents of the facility's service area		yes	In-person interview	yes	neutral	yes	"As long as they can get therapy, it's ok because that's the main thing."	The patient reported a highly positive experience in the inpatient rehab unit, highlighting attentive care, responsive nursing staff, intuitive and supportive therapists, and a therapy program tallored to real-life activities. The patient noted comfort with the physical space, praising both the room layout and bed quality.
											Although the patient did not have visiting family nearby, they felf well cared for and emphasized the unit's welcoming environment. They expressed uncertainty about the proposed move due to limited information, but questioned whether reducing the number of beds was an appropriate approach, given the existing demand.
Patient 006		9-Api	residents of the facility's service area		yes	In-person interview	yes	neutral	no		The patient closerved that many fellow patients were non-English speakers and commended the frequent use of translators. They also noted challenges faced by patients without stable housing or financial resources. While no immediate accessibility issues were observed, the patient emphasized the importance of maintaining the quality of care and access for vulnerable populations if changes are made to the facility or its capacity.
Patient 007		9-Apr	r residents of the facility's service area		ves	In-person interview	ves	ves	ves	I hope they can grow bigger and provide more services to the residents, so everyone can be healthy and happy.	
											The patient described a positive experience within the inpatient rehabilitation unit, highlighting strong camaraderia among staff and patients as well as a supportive therapeutic environment. They shade the collaborative amonghers, expectedly in the gym, and felt that staff were highly attentive and effective in their care.  The patient's transition from the stroke unit was delayed due to bed shortages, which added some stress early in their care journey. While the current room felt somewhat light, they appreciated the attentiveness of the staff and flexible visiting hours for family, which enhanced their sense of support.  Regarding the proposed relocation and bed reduction, the patient did not anticipate any negative impacts on care delivery, as long as the same staff
Patient 008		9-An	r residents of the facility's service area		ves	In-person interview	ves	neutral	no		and therapists remain involved. They acknowledged that the reduction in beds could limit access for future patients, but expressed confidence in the hospital's cultural and linguistic responsiveness, noting visible use of interpreters and support for patients from diverse backgrounds.

Note   1. Property   1. Prop						1	r	1		1		,
Secret by 1 A Private								Did this				
State   Control   Contro						1. 4.1.						
Respondent for Name (off) Quantity of Name (o												
Secretary and production of the control of the cont		0	D-4-(-) -4	Mile of a construct of a fact of a f							M	W
Pater 111  Pater 112  Pater 113  Pater 114  Pater 115					16 -101 1 1							
Prince 179  Prince	requested by stakeholder)	applicable)	outreach	represent?	If other, please describe	service area?	groups, surveys, etc.)	engagement?	project?	provide a statement?	please include below:	summary of the statement(s) below:
Prince 179  Prince												
Prince 179  Prince												Th
Figure 201  - Superior files to the field's service and - Superior files to the files of the fil												
Failed 509  - Add solvents of the Brill's service year.  - Add sol												
Patent 500  - Sear motions of the both's service area  - The patent service												
Parlet CO2  Parlet CO3  Parlet												
Patient (1)  Patie												
Selection Feet believe and continued are more excellable and improve inclination and exception in charges and inclination and improve inclination and inclination and inclination and improve inclination and improve inclination and improve inclination and improve inclination and												
Patient CS												The patient identified potential benefits and drawbacks of the proposed bed
Patient COS  Patie												reduction. Fewer beds could ease nurse workloads and improve individual
Patient COS  Patie												
Pater 100 Pulse of the facility's service area  The pater 101 Pulse of the facility's service area  The pater 101 Pulse of the facility's service area  The pater 101 Pulse of the facility's service area  The pater 101 Pulse of the facility's service area  The pater 101 Pulse of the facility's service area  The pater 101 Pulse of the facility's service area  The pater 101 Pulse of the facility's service area  The pater 101 Pulse of the facility's service area  The pater 101 Pulse of the facility's service area  The pater 101 Pulse of the facility's service area  The pater 101 Pulse of the facility's service area  The pater 101 Pulse of the facility's service area  The pater 101 Pulse of the facility's service area  The pater 101 Pulse of the facility's service area  The pater 101 Pulse of the facility's service area  The pater 101 Pulse of the facility's service area  The pater 101 Pulse of the facility's service area  The pater 101 Pulse of the facility's service area  The pater 101 Pulse of the facility's service area  The pater 101 Pulse of the facility's service area  The pater 101 Pulse of the facility's service area  The pater 101 Pulse of the facility's service area  The pater 101 Pulse of the facility's service area  The pater 101 Pulse of the facility's service area  The pater 101 Pulse of the facility's service area  The pater 101 Pulse of the facility's service area  The pater 101 Pulse of the facility's service area  The pater 101 Pulse of the facility's service area  The pater 101 Pulse of the facility's service area  The pater 101 Pulse of the facility's service area  The pater 101 Pulse of the facility's service area  The pater 101 Pulse of the facility's service area  The pater 101 Pulse of the facility's service area  The pater 101 Pulse of the facility's service area  The pater 101 Pulse of the facility's service area  The pater 101 Pulse of the facility's service area  The pater 101 Pulse of the facility's service area  The pater 101 Pulse of the facility's service area  The pater 101 Pulse of												
Patient 200 9 3-Au selected of the facility's service area.  Patient 201 9 3-Au selected of the facility's service area.  Patient 201 9 3-Au selected of the facility's service area.  Patient 201 9 3-Au selected of the facility's service area.  Patient 201 9 3-Au selected of the facility's service area.  Patient 201 9 3-Au selected of the facility's service area.  Patient 201 9 3-Au selected of the facility's service area.  Patient 201 9 3-Au selected of the facility's service area.  Patient 201 9 3-Au selected the facility's service area.  Patient 201 9 3-Au selected of the facility's service area.  Patient 201 9 3-Au selected of the facility's service area.  Patient 201 9 3-Au selected of the facility's service area.  Patient 201 9 3-Au selected of the facility's service area.  Patient 201 9 3-Au selected of the facility's service area.  Patient 201 9 3-Au selected of the facility's service area.  Patient 201 9 3-Au selected of the facility's service area.  Patient 201 9 3-Au selected of the facility's service area.  Patient 201 9 3-Au selected of the facility's service area.  Patient 201 9 3-Au selected of the facility's service area.  Patient 201 9 3-Au selected of the facility's service area.  Patient 201 9 3-Au selected of the facility's service area.  Patient 201 9 3-Au selected of the facility's service area.  Patient 201 9 3-Au selected of the facility's service area.  Patient 201 9 3-Au selected of the facility's service area.  Patient 201 9 3-Au selected of the facility's service area.  Patient 201 9 3-Au selected of the facility's service area.  Patient 201 9 3-Au selected of the facility's service area.  Patient 201 9 3-Au selected of the facility's service area.  Patient 201 9 3-Au selected of the facility's service area.  Patient 201 9 3-Au selected of the facility's service area.  Patient 201 9 3-Au selected of the facility's service area.  Patient 201 9 3-Au selected of the facility's service area.  Patient 201 9 3-Au selected of the facility's service area.  Patient 201 9 3-Au selected of the f												
Parlett COD  Public COD  Publi												specialized staff, potentially impacting patients from diverse backgrounds.
Parlett COD  Public COD  Publi												While they did not feel necessarily effected by the releastion ***
Patient 201 Page 1 page												
Petent 010	Patient 000		9 Apr	recidents of the facility's convice area		voc	In person interview	1/00	poutral	no		
Patient 010 9-Acc residents of the facility's service area  9-Acc residents of	r auent 009		3-Api	residents of the facility's service area		yes	in-person interview	yes	noutiai	110	"I'm against it cause [inaudible], even though we are in a Caucasian part of	emphasized the importance of preserving access to quality care.
Exercised Company   Part   P	Patient 010		9-Apr	residents of the facility's service area		ves	In-person interview	ves	no	ves		
Authority of the facility's service area  Pallett 011  Superior inferries  Pallett 011  Superior inferries  Pallett 011  Superior inferries  Pallett 012  Superior inferries  Pallett 012  Superior inferries  Pallett 013  Superior inferries  Pallett 013  Superior inferries  Pallett 014  Superior inferries  Pallett 015  Superior in				, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,		,		,		,		
Patient 011  Patient 012  Patient 013  Patient 015  Patie												
Patient 011  Patient 011  Patient 011  Patient 011  Patient 012  Patient 012  Patient 012  Patient 013  Patient 013  Patient 013  Patient 014  Patient 015  Patient 015  Patient 015  Patient 016  Patient 017  Patient 017  Patient 018  Patie											better for everyone, for the patients, for the visitors, for the nurses, maybe to	
Pelient 011  O Apr residents of the facility's service area  yes  In-person interview yes  yes  In-person inter												
Patient 011  9-Agr (residents of the facility's service area  yes In-person interview yes wes muraes.    Patient 012   BAgr   residents of the facility's service area												
Patient 012 Patient 013 10-Apr residents of the facility's service area  yes 10-person interview yes 1											attention and make it like around even better than it is already about the	
positive, noting that staff were platent and thereignes were supportive. They received physical, code plates and expressed admission, and some speech therapy, both in the gym and in their row. Admission to the unit occurred without design following a fall related rejuty, and the pulser the expressed admission with the care and space available.  When asked about the proposed relocation and reduction in beds, the patient expressed shellation about changing white they were already accustoment of indicating a general preference where the proposed relocation and reduction in beds, the patient expressed shellation about changing white they were already accustoment of indicating a permain preference about communication for individuals when one yout general preference and communication for individuals when one yout general preference and communication for individuals when one yout general preference and communication for individuals when one yout general preference active to challering in the person interview were the patient of the facility's service area were in-person interview was mustral was individually a service area were in-person interview was individually a service area were in-person interview was individually a service area were in-person interview was yet with a service and any proposed for the facility's service area were in-person interview was yet were interview. The person interview was yet in the person i	Patient 011		9-Apr	residents of the facility's service area		yes	In-person interview	yes	yes	yes	nurses.	
positive, noting that staff were platent and thereignes were supportive. They received physical, code plates and expressed admission, and some speech therapy, both in the gym and in their row. Admission to the unit occurred without design following a fall related rejuty, and the pulser the expressed admission with the care and space available.  When asked about the proposed relocation and reduction in beds, the patient expressed shellation about changing white they were already accustoment of indicating a general preference where the proposed relocation and reduction in beds, the patient expressed shellation about changing white they were already accustoment of indicating a permain preference about communication for individuals when one yout general preference and communication for individuals when one yout general preference and communication for individuals when one yout general preference and communication for individuals when one yout general preference active to challering in the person interview were the patient of the facility's service area were in-person interview was mustral was individually a service area were in-person interview was individually a service area were in-person interview was individually a service area were in-person interview was yet with a service and any proposed for the facility's service area were in-person interview was yet were interview. The person interview was yet in the person i												
positive, noting that staff were platent and thereignes were supportive. They received physical, code plates and expressed admission, and some speech therapy, both in the gym and in their row. Admission to the unit occurred without design following a fall related rejuty, and the pulser the expressed admission with the care and space available.  When asked about the proposed relocation and reduction in beds, the patient expressed shellation about changing white they were already accustoment of indicating a general preference where the proposed relocation and reduction in beds, the patient expressed shellation about changing white they were already accustoment of indicating a permain preference about communication for individuals when one yout general preference and communication for individuals when one yout general preference and communication for individuals when one yout general preference and communication for individuals when one yout general preference active to challering in the person interview were the patient of the facility's service area were in-person interview was mustral was individually a service area were in-person interview was individually a service area were in-person interview was individually a service area were in-person interview was yet with a service and any proposed for the facility's service area were in-person interview was yet were interview. The person interview was yet in the person i												
received physical, cocquational, and some speech therapy, both in the gram and in their room. Ambients to the unit counter without displications a fall related injury, and the patient expressed satisfaction with the care and space available.  When asked about the proposed relational relation in bests, the patient expressed healthout and counterprise with the care and space available.  When asked about the proposed relational relation in bests, the patient expressed healthout and changing with they were already accustemed to indicating a general preference for stability. They noted that troom space file limited when equipment and visitors were present, especially in shared corns.  The patient and visitors were present, especially in shared corns.  The patient alled concerns about communication for individuals who may not specific falling the patient related concerns about communication for individuals who may not specific falling the patient present interview was used to be challenging. However, they did not opens storage opinions on the best relation beyond advanced from the patient present interview. Yes patient of the facility's service area.  Yes In-person interview was patient of the facility's service area.  Yes In-person interview was yes It is gains to be better, sure why not, I'm for charge.*  The patient expressed deep appreciation for the inpetient relation environment as generally adequate, and his wife was able to come whice each did that the language barriers could be relatively to the facility's service area.  The patient expressed deep appreciation for the inpetient relation environment as generally adequate, and his wife was able to come whice each deep repreciation for the inpetient relation environment as generally adequate, and his wife was able to come whice each did that the language barriers could be relatively.  The patient expressed deep appreciation for the inpetient relation services but noted that the language barrier made at the control of the facility's service area.  The patient ex												
and in their room. Admission to the unit occurred without delay following a fall-related injury, and the palenter opposed addistraction with the palenter opposed addistraction and reduction in bads, the palent opposed to indicating a general perference for statility. They noted their opposed to indicating a general perference for statility. They noted their opposed to indicating a general perference for statility. They noted their opposed comment about comment about comment and comment												
related injury, and the patient expressed satisfaction with the care and space available.  When asked about the proposed relocation and reduction in beds, the patient expressed hesitation bout changing with they were already accounts on the patient expressed reliablish. They noted that one space all the patient expressed reliablish to the output and a distinct when expressed reliablish to those output and the patient of adaility. They noted that one space all the patient of adaility. They noted that one space all the patient of adaility. They noted that one space all the patient of adaility. They noted that one space all the patient of adaility. They noted that they never already accounts and they noted that they noted that they never a patient of the facility's service area.  Patient 012  9-Apr residents of the facility's service area.  yes  10-person interview												
When asked about the proposed relocation and reduction in beds, the patient expressed hesitation about changing what they were already accustomed to, indicating a general preference for stability. They roted patient expressed hesitation about changing what they were already accustomed to, indicating a general preference for stability. They roted patient companies for the facility of the stability is envised and a stability of the stability of the stability. They roted patient could be challenging.  Patient 012  9-Apr residents of the facility's service area  yes  In-person interview yes  yes  In-person interview y												
When asked about the proposed relocation and reduction in beds, the patient oxpressed hesitation about changing what they were already accustomage left indicating a general preference for stability. They noted that he may prove a present on the facility's service area  9-Apr residents of the facility's service area  yes  In-person interview yes  yes  In-												
expressed healtain about changing what they were already accorded to indicating a general preference for legislation about changing what they were already accorded to indicating a general preference for legislation and wisitors were present, especially indicating a general preference for legislation and wisitors were present, especially individual when may not speak English, suggesting that language barriers could be challenging.  Patient 012  9-Apr residents of the facility's service area  yes  In-person interview yes  yes  In-person interview yes  In-person interview yes  In-person interview yes  If is going to be better, sure with not, In for change.  The patient change might affect owner about noted that the language barriers could be challenging.  The patient of the facility's service area  yes  In-person interview yes  If is going to be better, sure with not, In for change.  The patient change might affect on the team, highlighting the dedication of nurse, therapies, and change but noted that the language barrier most of the goal of regaining independence. They described they physical their pool of regaining independence. They described the physical device each day to assist with therapy.  Patient 015  10-Apr residents of the facility's service area  yes  In-person interview yes  no  In-person interview yes  In-person interview yes  yes  In-person interview yes  yes  If is going to be better, sure with not, In for change.  The patient expressed deep appreciation for the team, highlighting the dedication of nurse, therapies, and change and the regaining independence. They described the physical derivicement as a generally adequate, and his wife was able to come twice each day to assist with therapy.  The patient cyresided support through and it's not being set up in another area which is far away. People are familiar with												available.
expressed healtain about changing what they were already accorded to indicating a general preference for legislation about changing what they were already accorded to indicating a general preference for legislation and wisitors were present, especially indicating a general preference for legislation and wisitors were present, especially individual when may not speak English, suggesting that language barriers could be challenging.  Patient 012  9-Apr residents of the facility's service area  yes  In-person interview yes  yes  In-person interview yes  In-person interview yes  In-person interview yes  If is going to be better, sure with not, In for change.  The patient change might affect owner about noted that the language barriers could be challenging.  The patient of the facility's service area  yes  In-person interview yes  If is going to be better, sure with not, In for change.  The patient change might affect on the team, highlighting the dedication of nurse, therapies, and change but noted that the language barrier most of the goal of regaining independence. They described they physical their pool of regaining independence. They described the physical device each day to assist with therapy.  Patient 015  10-Apr residents of the facility's service area  yes  In-person interview yes  no  In-person interview yes  In-person interview yes  yes  In-person interview yes  yes  If is going to be better, sure with not, In for change.  The patient expressed deep appreciation for the team, highlighting the dedication of nurse, therapies, and change and the regaining independence. They described the physical derivicement as a generally adequate, and his wife was able to come twice each day to assist with therapy.  The patient cyresided support through and it's not being set up in another area which is far away. People are familiar with												When asked about the proposed relocation and reduction in beds, the patient
Intended when equipment and visitors were present, especially in standard croms.  The patient asks of the facility's service area  yes  In-person interview yes  yes  In-person interview yes  In-pe												
The patient raised concerns about communication for individuals who may not speak. English, suggesting that language barrier out do exhallenging. However, they did not express storage pointines on but do exhallenging. However, they did not express storage pointines on but do exhallenging. However, they did not express storage pointines not be challenging. However, they did not express storage pointines not be challenging. However, they did not express storage pointines not be challenging. However, they did not express storage pointines not be challenged, but a change but I don't know?  Patient 013 10-Apr residents of the facility's service area yes In-person interview yes yes 1fit's going to be better, sure why not, I'm for change.*  The patient expressed deep appreciation for the inpatient rehab team, highlighting the dedication of nurses, therapists rehable team, highlighting the dedication of nurses, therapists the start of the facility's service area.  Patient 015  10-Apr residents of the facility's service area.  Yes In-person interview yes neutral no  In-person interview yes neutral no  Think it's a good idea especially because it is staying in the borough and it's not being set up in another area which is far away. People are familiar with												indicating a general preference for stability. They noted that room space felt
Speak English, suggesting that language parins on the dealering. However, they did not express story and acknowledging that Language parins on the Maybe it's a good idea to change but I don't know'  Patient 013 10-Apr residents of the facility's service area yes In-person interview ves neutral yes "Maybe it's a good idea to change but I don't know'  Patient 014 10-Apr residents of the facility's service area yes In-person interview ves yes "If it's going to be better, sure why not, I'm for change."  The patient expressed deep appreciation for the inpatient rehab team, highlighting the dedication of nurses, therapists, and doctors. As a non-English speaker, they received supplementation of the facility's service area and emphasized their goal of regaining independence. They described the physical environment as generally adoquate, and his wife was able to come twice each day to assist with therapy.  Patient 015 10-Apr residents of the facility's service area  Ves In-person interview ves neutral no  In-person interview ves neutral neutr												limited when equipment and visitors were present, especially in shared rooms.
Speak English, suggesting that language parins on the dealering. However, they did not express story and acknowledging that Language parins on the Maybe it's a good idea to change but I don't know'  Patient 013 10-Apr residents of the facility's service area yes In-person interview ves neutral yes "Maybe it's a good idea to change but I don't know'  Patient 014 10-Apr residents of the facility's service area yes In-person interview ves yes "If it's going to be better, sure why not, I'm for change."  The patient expressed deep appreciation for the inpatient rehab team, highlighting the dedication of nurses, therapists, and doctors. As a non-English speaker, they received supplementation of the facility's service area and emphasized their goal of regaining independence. They described the physical environment as generally adoquate, and his wife was able to come twice each day to assist with therapy.  Patient 015 10-Apr residents of the facility's service area  Ves In-person interview ves neutral no  In-person interview ves neutral neutr								1				
Patient 012 9-Apr residents of the facility's service area yes In-person interview yes expendence of the facility's service area yes In-person interview yes expendence of the facility's service area yes In-person interview yes expendence of the facility's service area yes In-person interview yes expendence of the facility's service area yes In-person interview yes yes or the facility's service area yes In-person interview yes yes yes yes If it's going to be better, sure why not, I'm for change but I don't know'  Patient 014 10-Apr residents of the facility's service area yes In-person interview yes yes yes I'll's going to be better, sure why not, I'm for change.'  The patient expressed deep appreciation for the large through the decidation of nurse, therapits, and doctors. As a non-English speaker, they received support through pransition services. Despite this change patient reported receiving compassionate care and applications of the facility's service area yes In-person interview yes neutral no  The patient expressed deep appreciation for the large through the decidation of nurse, therapits, and doctors. As a non-English speaker, they received support through pransition services. Despite this change patient reported receiving compassionate care and applications of the facility's service area yes In-person interview yes neutral no  The patient expressed deep appreciation for the large through the decident of nurse, therapits, and doctors. As a non-English speaker, they received support through particular expression that the language patient made of the facility's service area.  Patient 015  10-Apr residents of the facility's service area yes In-person interview yes neutral no  "It think it's a good idea especially because it is staying in the borough and it's not being set up in another area which is far away. People are familiar with												
Patient 012 9-Apr residents of the facility's service area yes In-person interview ves no acknowledging that change might affect others differently.  Patient 013 10-Apr residents of the facility's service area yes In-person interview ves neutral yes "Maybe it's a good idea to change but I don't know"  Patient 014 10-Apr residents of the facility's service area yes In-person interview ves yes "If it's going to be better, sure why not, I'm for change."  The patient expressed deep appreciation for the inpatient rehab team, highlighting the dedication of nurses, therapists rehable team, highlighting the dedication of nurses, therapists rehable team, highlighting the dedication of nurses, therapists repaired that the language barrier made it difficult to express their needs. Despite this challenge, the precieved support through rands doors. As a non-English speaker, they received support through rands doors. As a non-English speaker, they received support through rands doors. As a non-English speaker, they received support through rands doors. As a non-English speaker, they received support through rands doors. As a non-English speaker, they received support through rands doors. As a non-English speaker, they received support through rands doors. As a non-English speaker, they received support through rands doors. As a non-English speaker, they received support through rands doors. As a non-English speaker, they received support through rands doors. As a non-English speaker, they received support through rands doors. As a non-English speaker, they received support through rands doors. As a non-English speaker, they received support through rands doors. As a non-English speaker, they received support through rands doors. As a non-English speaker the received that the language barrier made it difficult to express their reads. Patient the analyses of the facility's service area.  Patient 015 10-Apr residents of the facility's service area.												
Patient 013 10-Apr residents of the facility's service area	Patient 012			residents of the facility's condend			In namen intensions		l			
Patient 014 10-Apr residents of the facility's service area yes In-person interview yes yes 1fit's going to be better, sure why not, I'm for change."  The patient expressed deep appreciation for the inpatient rehab team, highlighting the dedication of nurses, therapists, and doctors. As a non-English speaker, they received support through small doctors. As a non-English speaker, they received support through small doctors. As a non-English speaker, they received support through small doctors. As a non-English speaker, they received support through small doctors. As a non-English speaker, they received support through small doctors. As a non-English speaker, they received support through small case that the language barrier made it difficult to express their needs. Despite this challenge, the patient reported receiving compassionated that the language barrier made it difficult to express their needs. Despite this challenge, the precision of the facility's service area and emphasized their goal of regaining independence. They described the physical environment as generally adequate, and his wife was able to come twice each day to assist with therapy.  1 think it's a good idea especially because it is staying in the borough and it's not being set up in another area which is far away. People are familiar with						yes		yes	poutral	HO	"Maybe it's a good idea to change but I don't know"	acknowledging that change might affect others differently.
The patient expressed deep appreciation for the inable team, highlighting the dedication of nurse the dedication of nurse the dedication of nurse bit noted that the language barrier mode! Low parse that our designation services but noted that the language barrier mode! Low parse that our designation services but noted that the language barrier mode! Low parse that our designation partier mode! Low parse that of the facility's service area  10-Apr residents of the facility's service area  1			10-Apr	residents of the facility's service area				ves				
highlighting the dedication of nurses, therapies, and doctors. As a non- English speaker, they received support services but noted that the language barrier made it difficult to expess their needs. Despite this challenge, the patient reported reviewing compassionate care and emphasized their goal of regaining independence. They described the physical emirrorment as generally adequate, and his wife was able to come twice each day to assist with therapy.  Think it's a good idee especially because it is staying in the borough and it's not being set up in another area which is far away. People are familiar with				or the monthly o control died		,		1,	l,	1,	gaing to an outer, out of mily not, i in for orange.	The natient expressed deep appreciation for the inpatient rehab team
English speaker, they received support through express princes but noted that the language barrier made if the great the receiving compassionate care and emphasized their goal of regaining language barrier made if the great part to great expectation of the facility's service area  Patient 015  10-Apr residents of the facility's service area  yes  In-person interview  yes  neutral  no  'I think it's a good idea especially because it is staying in the borrough and it's not being set up in another area which is far away. People are familiar with								1				
that the language barrier made it difficult to explose the incended by the language barrier made it difficult to explose the incended of challenge, the parties of the facility's service area and emphasized their goal of regaining independence. They described the physical environment as generally adequate, and his wife was able to come twice each day to assist with therapy.  Think it's a good idea especially because it is staying in the borough and it's not being set up in another area which is far away. People are familiar with												
challenge, the patient reported receiving compassionate care and emphasized their goal of regaining independent receiving compassionate care and emphasized their goal of regaining independent as generally adequate, and his wife was able to come twice each day to assist with therapy.  Patient 015  10-Apr residents of the facility's service area  yes  In-person interview  yes  neutral  no  'I think it's a good idea especially because it is staying in the borough and it's not being set up in another area which is far away. People are familiar with												
Patient 015 10-Apr residents of the facility's service area ves In-person interview ves neutral no their goal of regaining independence. They described the physical environment as generally adequate, and his wife was able to come twice each day to assist with therapy.  "I think it's a good idea especially because it is staying in the borough and it's not being set up in another area which is far away. People are familiar with								1				
Patient 015 10-Apr residents of the facility's service area ves In-person interview ves neutral no day to assist with therapy.  1 think it's a good idea especially because it is staying in the borrough and it's not being set up in another area which is far away. People are familiar with								1				
"I think it's a good idea especially because it is staying in the borough and it's not being set up in another area which is far away. People are familiar with								1				
not being set up in another area which is far away. People are familiar with	Patient 015		10-Apr	residents of the facility's service area		yes	In-person interview	yes	neutral	no		day to assist with therapy.
not being set up in another area which is far away. People are familiar with												
	1											
Patient U16   10-Apr   residents of the facility's service area   yes   In-person interview   yes   yes   jt, and they'll come to it and they'll be very fuckly if they're accepted."	L		1					1				
	Patient 016		10-Apr	residents of the facility's service area		yes	In-person interview	yes	yes	yes	it, and they'll come to it and they'll be very lucky if they're accepted."	

							District.				
							Did this person/organiza				
					Is this	Method of engagement	tion participate	Is this			
Identifier (i.e., Patient A, Employee B, Respondent 1) or Name (only if	Organization (if	Date(s) of	What required stakeholder group did they		person/organization a resident of the project's	(i.e., phone calls, community forums, focus	in the meaningful	person/organization supportive of this	Did this person/organization	If permission is granted to share a statement or quote (250 word max),	If permission is not granted to share a verbatim statement, please include a
requested by stakeholder)	applicable)	outreach	represent?	If other, please describe	service area?	groups, surveys, etc.)	engagement?	project?	provide a statement?	please include below:	summary of the statement(s) below:
Unit Leadership 001		3/24; 3/25	employees		Vos	Zoom interview	Ves	was	Vec	Soi. I am pro [the move] because I believe in my team, and of course I brought the situation to them. I was thinking that I would pet. "On, my God, not" And instead, I got we can make it. One of the aides said yesterday, "We can make any area look great." Why? Because I don't know if you looked at our data or information. Patient experience on this unit is top in the hospital. That's because I've been here a long time, and of course I'm timeds with everyone. Right? So everywhere is clean, everywhere is titly. And the team said to me, "The space has nothing to do with how we're going to look. We are going to do the same thing over there." If the team is napy. I'm happy. Our patient experience is not going to suffer because an aide let me know that it's about us more than the space, and they see only positives. So we see only positives."	
Onit Leadership 001		15/24, 5/25	employees		yes	ZOOTI IIILEI VIEW	yes	yos	yes		
											The stakeholder described the inpatient rehab unit as a vital service for patients recovering from life-altering conditions such as stroke or brain injury. The unit serves a diverse, largely immigrant, and working-class population across Brooklyn and beyond, many of whom face language and socioeconomic barriers.  The unit's comprehensive care model includes medical oversight, intensive therapy, caregiver education, and support services such as transportation and food assistance. Key challenges to access include medical and functional eligibility criteria, insurance approvals, and limited capacity to admit uninsured patients, despite the unit's willinguess to take chartly cases.  The stakeholder expressed strong concerns about the proposed relocation and reduction in beds, citing an increase in referrals due to nearth perhabilitation center closures. Reducing beds would limit access, pearbuy rehabilitation center closures. Reducing beds would limit access, pearbuy rehabilitation
											individuals, and strain capacity, while relocation to a separate building could delay emergency responses. They did not identify equity benefits from the
Unit Leadership 002		3/24; 3/25	employees		yes	Zoom interview	yes	no	no		move and viewed it as a loss of critical community resources.
											The stakeholder described the inpatient rehab unit as a culturally responsive, community-centered program with Joint Commission certification for stroke rehabilitation. Serving a predominantly low-income, Medicati-frastured, and impuisationally develope population, the unit integrates with local safety net services and provides care regardless of inscrance status when possible. The team prioritizes individualized care, particularly for younger stroke patients and those managing complex life circumstances.
											Concerns were raised about the planned reduction in beds, especially arrile regional rehab closures. Reduced capacity may limit access for underseved patients and force difficult decisions about whom to admit, potentially reducing chartily care. While the Augustana move offers modernized rooms and greater space for caregivers, the geographic separation from therapy services could impact operational efficiency and staff satisfaction.  Equity concerns include language barriers, health literacy, and socioeconomic stressors. The stakeholder emphasized that safe staffing ratios, competent care, and access to local rehab services are critical for equitable recovery and
Unit Leadership 003		3/24; 3/26	employees		yes	Zoom interview	yes	neutral	no		care, and access to local renab services are critical for equitable recovery and community reintegration.
Unit Leadership 004		3/24; 3/27	employees		ves	Zoom interview	ves	ves	ves	"I am in favor of the proposed change. I think it will be beneficial for the patients, for the staff, and also for the hospital overall. So I'm I'm pro change."	
One ceases in the		J. 24, JIZI	Surfuctions 2		усо	A COURT HERE VIEW	yoo	yoo	y00	1. MSD MAP.	The stakeholder described the inpatient rehab unit as a high-performing, team- oriented environment with deeply committed staff who foster close, trust- based relationships with patients. The unit primarily servers a racially, linguistically, and economically diverse population from across Brooklyn and surrounding areas. Staff diversity and multilingual capabilities were identified as critical to culturally competent cap.
											Equity concerns included barriers to access due to insurance limitations and logistical challenges such as transportation. The unit currently provides care for uninsured patients through charity support, but the stakeholder expectace concern that reducing beds could limit this flexibility. They noted that the unit operates near capacity, with increasing demand driven by external rehab closures.
Unit Leadership 005		3/24; 3/27	employees		yes	Zoom interview	yes	no	no		While the proposed relocation could after a more modern physical space that could accomodate patient handling and mobility issues, the stateholder for the property of the property of the property of the property of the fragmentation of care. Mentaning staffing levels and continuity was deemed essential for preserving patient outcomes and ensuring equitative access to impatient rehabilistion. Stakeholder also stressed the importance mitigation logistics to accomodate transport times for patients and equipment for therapy sessions.
			,								

	ZCTA5 112	.01			ZCTA5 1	1203		
		Margin of		Percent Margin of		Margin of		Percent Margin of
Label	Estimate	Error	Percent	Error	Estimate	Error	Percent	Error
SEX AND AGE (Census Table DP05)								
Total population	69,251	±2,372	69,251	(X)	76,853	±2,301	76,853	(X)
Male	32,265	±1,549	46.6%	±1.3	35,157	±1,411	45.7%	±1.3
Female	36,986	±1,421	53.4%	±1.3	41,696	±1,628	54.3%	±1.3
Sex ratio (males per 100 females)	87.2	±4.6	(X)	(X)	84.3	±4.4	(X)	(X)
Under 5 years	4,698	±563	6.8%	±0.8	4,124	±714	5.4%	±0.9
5 to 9 years	2,906	±493	4.2%	±0.7	3,637	±538	4.7%	±0.7
10 to 14 years	2,580	±497	3.7%	±0.7	4,201	±522	5.5%	±0.6
15 to 19 years	2,651	±449	3.8%	±0.6	3,650	±476	4.7%	±0.6
20 to 24 years	4,066	±529	5.9%	±0.8	3,475	±419	4.5%	±0.5
25 to 34 years	17,411	±989	25.1%	±1.4	10,723	±1,052	14.0%	±1.2
35 to 44 years	12,644	±1,307	18.3%	±1.6	10,359	±810	13.5%	±1.0
45 to 54 years	8,138	±769	11.8%	±1.0	9,339	±736	12.2%	±0.9
55 to 59 years	3,343	±541	4.8%	±0.8	4,972	±620	6.5%	±0.8
60 to 64 years	2,144	±362	3.1%	±0.5	6,168	±642	8.0%	±0.8
65 to 74 years	4,454	±504	6.4%	±0.7	9,144	±636	11.9%	±0.9
75 to 84 years	3,120	±404	4.5%	±0.6	4,882	±505	6.4%	±0.7
85 years and over	1,096	±333	1.6%	±0.5	2,179	±381	2.8%	±0.5
Median age (years)	35.2	±0.5	(X)	(X)	43.2	±1.2	(X)	(X)
RACE (Census Table DP05)								+
Total population	69,251	±2,372	69,251	(X)	76.853	±2,301	76,853	(X)
One race	62,407	±2,011	90.1%	±1.3	69,448	±2,029	90.4%	±1.2
Two or more races	6,844	±1,026	9.9%	±1.3	7,405	±1,046	9.6%	±1.2
One race	62,407	±2,011	90.1%	±1.3	69,448	±2,029	90.4%	±1.2
White	41,843	±1,823	60.4%	±2.1	4,312	±815	5.6%	±1.1
Black or African American	8,597	±1,017	12.4%	±1.4	61,075	±2,175	79.5%	±2.1
American Indian and Alaska Native	113	±84	0.2%	±0.1	123	±101	0.2%	±0.1
Asian	9,950	±1,141	14.4%	±1.7	1,352	±359	1.8%	±0.5
Native Hawaiian and Other Pacific Islander	7	±14	0.0%	±0.1	30	±44	0.0%	±0.1
Some other race	1,897	±650	2.7%	±0.9	2,556	±734	3.3%	±0.9
Two or more races	6,844	±1,026	9.9%	±1.3	7,405	±1,046	9.6%	±1.2
HISPANIC OR LATINO AND RACE (Census Table DP05)								-
Total population	69,251	±2,372	69,251	(X)	76,853	±2,301	76,853	(X)
Hispanic or Latino (of any race)	7,403	±1,114	10.7%	±1.5	5,599	±1,072	7.3%	±1.3
Not Hispanic or Latino	61,848	±2,237	89.3%	±1.5	71,254	±2,207	92.7%	±1.3
				1				+
HEALTH INSURANCE COVERAGE (Census Table DP03)	00 = 10	0	00.5:-	0.0	<b></b>	0.000	== ===	0.0
Civilian noninstitutionalized population	68,549	±2,370	68,549	(X)	75,597	±2,302	75,597	(X)
With health insurance coverage	66,645	±2,484	97.2%	±0.7	71,148	±2,234	94.1%	±1.0
With private health insurance	57,430	±2,541	83.8%	±1.5	41,218	±1,914	54.5%	±1.9
With public coverage	14,514 1,904	±1,060 ±453	21.2%	±1.6 ±0.7	35,713 4,449	±1,852 ±789	47.2% 5.9%	±2.1 ±1.0
No health insurance coverage	1,904	±400	2.0 /0	10.1	4,448	1109	J.8 /0	11.0
DISABILITY STATUS OF THE CIVILIAN NONINSTITUTIONALIZED POPULATION (Census Table DP02)								
Total Civilian Noninstitutionalized Population	68,549	±2,370	68,549	(X)	75,597	±2,302	75,597	(X)
With a disability	5,420	±660	7.9%	±1.0	9,051	±769	12.0%	±0.9

	ZCTA5 1	ZCTA5 11204							ZCTA5 1	1206		
				Percent				Percent				Percent
		Margin of		Margin of		Margin of		Margin of		Margin of	1	Margin of
Label	Estimate	Error	Percent	Error	Estimate	Error	Percent	Error	Estimate	Error	Percent	Error
SEX AND AGE (Census Table DP05)												+
Total population	81,368	±3,348	81,368	(X)	50,205	±2,321	50,205	(X)	89,231	±3,578	89,231	(X)
Male	39,681	±1,733	48.8%	±1.2	23,282	±1,526	46.4%	±1.8	41,993	±2,262	47.1%	±1.4
Female	41,687		51.2%	±1.2	26,923	±1,378	53.6%	±1.8	47,238	±2,097	52.9%	±1.4
Sex ratio (males per 100 females)	95.2	±4.4	(X)	(X)	86.5	±6.2	(X)	(X)	88.9	±5.1	(X)	(X)
Under 5 years	7,479	±836	9.2%	±0.9	4,487	±698	8.9%	±1.3	6,873	±1,027	7.7%	±1.0
5 to 9 years	7,364	±816	9.1%	±0.9	4,083	±586	8.1%	±1.1	5,939	±832	6.7%	±0.8
10 to 14 years	7,163	±811	8.8%	±0.8	3,827	±559	7.6%	±1.0	7,334	±885	8.2%	±0.9
15 to 19 years	5,498	±741	6.8%	±0.8	4,280	±518	8.5%	±0.9	6,293	±768	7.1%	±0.8
20 to 24 years	4,160	±582	5.1%	±0.7	3,626	±638	7.2%	±1.3	6,948	±757	7.8%	±0.8
25 to 34 years	9,742	±774	12.0%	±0.9	9,350	±1,024	18.6%	±2.0	18,896	±1,345	21.2%	±1.4
35 to 44 years	9,413	±912	11.6%	±1.0	7,363	±742	14.7%	±1.4	11,796	±1,146	13.2%	±1.1
45 to 54 years	9,614	±769	11.8%	±0.8	4,825	±626	9.6%	±1.1	7,561	±969	8.5%	±1.0
55 to 59 years	5,057	±683	6.2%	±0.8	1,874	±367	3.7%	±0.7	3,860	±596	4.3%	±0.7
60 to 64 years	4,503	±531	5.5%	±0.7	1,832	±485	3.6%	±1.0	4,057	±536	4.5%	±0.6
65 to 74 years	6,494	±608	8.0%	±0.8	2,357	±445	4.7%	±0.9	6,022	±642	6.7%	±0.7
75 to 84 years	3,074	±385	3.8%	±0.5	1,698	±464	3.4%	±0.9	2,669	±584	3.0%	±0.7
85 years and over	1,807	±336	2.2%	±0.4	603	±255	1.2%	±0.5	983	±382	1.1%	±0.4
Median age (years)	34.3	±1.2	(X)	(X)	30.5	±0.9	(X)	(X)	30.7	±0.6	(X)	(X)
DAGE (Occurrent Table DDGE)												
RACE (Census Table DP05)	04.000	.0.040	04.000	00	F0 00F	.0.004	50.005	00	00.004	.0.570	00.004	00
Total population	81,368		81,368	(X)	50,205	±2,321	50,205	(X)	89,231	±3,578	89,231	(X)
One race Two or more races	77,953 3,415	±3,373 ±745	95.8% 4.2%	±0.9 ±0.9	45,026 5,179	±2,381 ±880	89.7% 10.3%	±1.8	79,689 9,542	±3,567 ±1,261	89.3% 10.7%	±1.4
One race	77,953	±3,373	95.8%	±0.9	45,026	±2,381	89.7%	±1.8	79,689	±3,567	89.3%	±1.4
White	44,863	±2,682	55.1%	±2.2	27,195	±2,361 ±2,143	54.2%	±3.1	37,667	±3,307 ±2,887	42.2%	±1.4 ±2.4
Black or African American	975	±306	1.2%	±0.4	10,866	±1,301	21.6%	±2.4	18,331	±1,958	20.5%	±2.2
American Indian and Alaska Native	587	±389	0.7%	±0.5	374	±277	0.7%	±0.5	763	±442	0.9%	±0.5
Asian	23,781	±1,830	29.2%	±2.2	3,381	±509	6.7%	±1.0	5,891	±1,049	6.6%	±1.2
Native Hawaiian and Other Pacific Islander	0	±31	0.0%	±0.1	12	±25	0.0%	±0.1	212	±259	0.2%	±0.3
Some other race	7,747	±1,652	9.5%	±1.9	3,198	±851	6.4%	±1.7	16,825	±2,217	18.9%	±2.3
Two or more races	3,415	±745	4.2%	±0.9	5,179	±880	10.3%	±1.8	9,542	±1,261	10.7%	±1.4
HISPANIC OR LATINO AND RACE (Census Table DP05)												
Total population	81,368		81,368	(X)	50,205	±2,321	50,205	(X)	89,231	±3,578	89,231	(X)
Hispanic or Latino (of any race)	10,605	±1,727	13.0%	±1.9	7,605	±1,000	15.1%	±1.9	32,462	±2,624	36.4%	±2.4
Not Hispanic or Latino	70,763	±2,893	87.0%	±1.9	42,600	±2,223	84.9%	±1.9	56,769	±3,019	63.6%	±2.4
		<u> </u>		1	1		1	1		1		+
HEALTH INSURANCE COVERAGE (Census Table DP03)												
Civilian noninstitutionalized population	81,283		81,283	(X)	50,185	±2,323	50,185	(X)	89,198	±3,579	89,198	(X)
With health insurance coverage			93.2%	±1.0	48,486		96.6%	±0.6	83,703		93.8%	±1.1
With private health insurance			38.8%	±2.4	28,062		55.9%	±3.0	38,120		42.7%	±2.4
With public coverage			60.8%	±2.2	24,060		47.9%	±3.1	52,502		58.9%	±2.4
No health insurance coverage	5,549	±833	6.8%	±1.0	1,699	±318	3.4%	±0.6	5,495	±973	6.2%	±1.1
DISABILITY STATUS OF THE CIVILIAN NONINSTITUTIONALIZED		1			1		1	1		1		+
POPULATION (Census Table DP02)												
Total Civilian Noninstitutionalized Population	81,283	±3,341	81,283	(X)	50,185	±2,323	50,185	(X)	89,198	±3,579	89,198	(X)
With a disability	6,480		8.0%	±0.7	4,986	±659	9.9%	±1.3	11,645	±882	13.1%	±1.0

	ZCTA5 1					1208			ZCTA5 1	1209		
				Percent				Percent				Percent
		Margin of	_ ,	Margin of		Margin of		Margin of		Margin of		Margin of
Label	Estimate	Error	Percent	Error	Estimate	Error	Percent	Error	Estimate	Error	Percent	Error
SEX AND AGE (Census Table DP05)												
Total population	93,445	±3,250	93,445	(X)	106,901	±4,199	106,901	(X)	70,756	±1,945	70,756	(X)
Male	41,735	±2,037	44.7%	±1.3	51,834	±2,817	48.5%	±1.4	34,163	±1,051	48.3%	±1.1
Female		±1,994	55.3%	±1.3	55,067	±2,256		±1.4	36,593	±1,402	51.7%	±1.1
Sex ratio (males per 100 females)	80.7	±4.2	(X)	(X)	94.1	±5.2	(X)	(X)	93.4	±4.0	(X)	(X)
Under 5 years	5,242	±790	5.6%	±0.8	8,061	±899	7.5%	±0.8	3,655	±522	5.2%	±0.7
5 to 9 years	5,611	±793	6.0%	±0.8	7,382	±997	6.9%	±0.8	3,819	±493	5.4%	±0.6
10 to 14 years	6,303	±734	6.7%	±0.7	8,369	±1,012	7.8%	±0.8	3,968	±477	5.6%	±0.6
15 to 19 years	5,108	±723	5.5%	±0.7	7,812	±837	7.3%	±0.7	3,759	±509	5.3%	±0.7
20 to 24 years	7,114	±916	7.6%	±0.9	6,189	±668	5.8%	±0.6	2,877	±422	4.1%	±0.6
25 to 34 years	14,889	±1,082	15.9%	±1.2	16,698	±1,357	15.6%	±1.1	9,956	±910	14.1%	±1.2
35 to 44 years	13,025	±1,121	13.9%	±1.1	12,751	±1,207	11.9%	±1.0	10,712	±824	15.1%	±1.0
45 to 54 years	12,020	±1,309	12.9%	±1.3	12,674	±1,069	11.9%	±1.0	9,165	±671	13.0%	±0.9
55 to 59 years	5,202	±663	5.6%	±0.7	7,554	±1,047	7.1%	±0.9	4,730	±487	6.7%	±0.7
60 to 64 years	5,628	±629	6.0%	±0.7	6,333	±732	5.9%	±0.7	3,987	±481	5.6%	±0.7
65 to 74 years	7,412	±858	7.9%	±0.9	8,294	±904	7.8%	±0.8	8,139	±670	11.5%	±0.9
75 to 84 years	3,730	±611	4.0%	±0.6	3,527	±587	3.3%	±0.5	4,146	±490	5.9%	±0.7
85 years and over	2,161	±506	2.3%	±0.5	1,257	±469	1.2%	±0.4	1,843	±320	2.6%	±0.5
Median age (years)	36.4	±1.1	(X)	(X)	34.3	±1.1	(X)	(X)	41.9	±1.0	(X)	(X)
RACE (Census Table DP05)												
Total population	93,445	±3,250	93,445	(X)		±4,199		(X)	70,756	±1,945	70,756	(X)
One race	84,445	±3,207	90.4%	±1.3	98,535	±4,067	92.2%	±1.3	64,137	±2,029	90.6%	±1.4
Two or more races	9,000		9.6%	±1.3	8,366	±1,411	7.8%	±1.3	6,619	±1,008	9.4%	±1.4
One race	84,445	±3,207	90.4%	±1.3	98,535	±4,067	92.2%	±1.3	64,137	±2,029	90.6%	±1.4
White	10,443	±1,203	11.2%	±1.3	11,350	±1,713	10.6%	±1.5	45,955	±1,756	64.9%	±2.1
Black or African American	55,477	±2,636	59.4%	±2.4	47,996	±3,441	44.9%	±2.5	2,099	±480	3.0%	±0.7
American Indian and Alaska Native	267	±213	0.3%	±0.2	115	±179	0.1%	±0.2	896	±437	1.3%	±0.6
Asian	1,476	±320	1.6%	±0.3		±1,977	10.5%	±1.9	9,614	±1,031	13.6%	±1.4
Native Hawaiian and Other Pacific Islander	0	±31	0.0%	±0.1	303	±189	0.3%	±0.2	4	±15	0.0%	±0.1
Some other race	16,782	±2,471	18.0%	±2.4	27,551	±2,496	25.8%	±2.3	5,569	±1,008	7.9%	±1.3
Two or more races	9,000	±1,243	9.6%	±1.3	8,366	±1,411	7.8%	±1.3	6,619	±1,008	9.4%	±1.4
HISPANIC OR LATINO AND RACE (Census Table DP05)												
Total population	93,445	±3,250	93,445	(X)	106,901	±4,199	106,901	(X)	70,756	±1,945	70,756	(X)
Hispanic or Latino (of any race)	30,985	±2,468	33.2%	±2.2	42,003	±2,869	39.3%	±2.3	13,789	±1,421	19.5%	±1.8
Not Hispanic or Latino	62,460	±2,712	66.8%	±2.2	64,898	±3,723	60.7%	±2.3	56,967	±1,777	80.5%	±1.8
THOUTHOUGH COT EAUTO	02,400	±2,7 12	00.070	<u></u>	0-1,000	10,720	00.170	12.0	00,007	-1,777	00.070	1.0
HEALTH INSURANCE COVERAGE (Census Table DP03)												
Civilian noninstitutionalized population	92,813		92,813	(X)	106,572		106,572	(X)	70,412	±1,940	70,412	(X)
With health insurance coverage		±3,137	95.0%	±0.7	100,482	±3,953	94.3%	±0.9	67,434	±1,946	95.8%	±0.7
With private health insurance			50.4%	±2.1		±2,901		±1.9	48,147	±1,823	68.4%	±2.0
With public coverage			57.4%	±2.1		±3,500	54.6%	±2.3	26,335	±1,384	37.4%	±1.7
No health insurance coverage	4,638	±632	5.0%	±0.7	6,090	±986	5.7%	±0.9	2,978	±492	4.2%	±0.7
DICABILITY CTATUS OF THE CIVILIAN MONINGTITUTIONAL IZED										1		
DISABILITY STATUS OF THE CIVILIAN NONINSTITUTIONALIZED POPULATION (Census Table DP02)												
Total Civilian Noninstitutionalized Population	92,813	±3,203	92,813	(X)	106,572	±4,209	106,572	(X)	70,412	±1,940	70,412	(X)
With a disability			14.5%	±1.2	8,857	±1,046		±1.0	7,683	±703	10.9%	±1.0

	ZCTA5 1	1210			ZCTA5 1	1211			ZCTA5 1	1212		
				Percent				Percent				Percent
		Margin of		Margin of		Margin of		Margin of		Margin of		Margin of
Label	Estimate	Error	Percent	Error	Estimate	Error	Percent	Error	Estimate	Error	Percent	Error
SEX AND AGE (Census Table DP05)												-
Total population	60,398	±2,950	60,398	(X)	65,691	±3,475	65,691	(X)	87,946	±3,353	87,946	(X)
Male	30,034	±1,657	49.7%	±1.2	33,199	±2,246	50.5%	±1.8	37,390	±2,113	42.5%	±1.6
Female	30,364	±1,641	50.3%	±1.2	32,492	±1,956	49.5%	±1.8	50,556	±2,113	57.5%	±1.6
Sex ratio (males per 100 females)	98.9	±4.8	(X)	(X)	102.2	±7.4	(X)	(X)	74.0	±5.0	(X)	(X)
Under 5 years	3,411	±586	5.6%	±0.9	5,690	±815	8.7%	±1.0	6,295	±1,004	7.2%	±1.0
5 to 9 years	3,923	±555	6.5%	±0.8	2,958	±649	4.5%	±0.9	6,311	±1,004	7.2%	±1.1
10 to 14 years	4,198	±540	7.0%	±0.7	3,358	±642	5.1%	±0.8	5,958	±890	6.8%	±0.9
15 to 19 years	3,565	±434	5.9%	±0.6	2,551	±530	3.9%	±0.7	5,502	±863	6.3%	±1.0
20 to 24 years	3,728	±498	6.2%	±0.8	5,053	±818	7.7%	±1.2	5,390	±858	6.1%	±1.0
25 to 34 years	8,011	±872	13.3%	±1.2	19,664	±1,229	29.9%	±1.9	13,735	±1,315	15.6%	±1.2
35 to 44 years	8,037	±703	13.3%	±1.1	10,758	±1,072	16.4%	±1.4	11,172	±1,179	12.7%	±1.3
45 to 54 years	7,336	±880	12.1%	±1.3	5,571	±873	8.5%	±1.2	8,848	±931	10.1%	±1.1
55 to 59 years	3,492	±452	5.8%	±0.7	2,285	±498	3.5%	±0.7	6,389	±826	7.3%	±0.9
60 to 64 years	4,120	±425	6.8%	±0.7	2,125	±387	3.2%	±0.6	6,696	±942	7.6%	±1.0
65 to 74 years	6,576	±571	10.9%	±0.9	3,240	±531	4.9%	±0.8	7,166	±705	8.1%	±0.8
75 to 84 years	2,704	±333	4.5%	±0.6	1,629	±365	2.5%	±0.5	3,422	±556	3.9%	±0.6
85 years and over	1,297	±390	2.1%	±0.6	809	±295	1.2%	±0.5	1,062	±312	1.2%	±0.4
Median age (years)	39.5	±1.7	(X)	(X)	31.4	±0.6	(X)	(X)	35.6	±1.2	(X)	(X)
			/									
RACE (Census Table DP05)												
Total population	60,398	±2,950	60,398	(X)	65,691	±3,475	65,691	(X)	87,946	±3,353	87,946	(X)
One race	55,740	±3,042	92.3%	±1.6	59,619	±3,350	90.8%	±1.1	76,968	±3,254	87.5%	±2.5
Two or more races	4,658	±985	7.7%	±1.6	6,072	±747	9.2%	±1.1	10,978	±2,322	12.5%	±2.5
One race	55,740	±3,042	92.3%	±1.6	59,619	±3,350	90.8%	±1.1	76,968	±3,254	87.5%	±2.5
White	18,556	±1,923	30.7%	±2.7	41,352	±2,764	62.9%	±2.6	5,860	±1,441	6.7%	±1.6
Black or African American	30,791	±2,470	51.0%	±3.0	3,926	±1,098	6.0%	±1.6	61,636	±2,997	70.1%	±3.0
American Indian and Alaska Native	148	±142	0.2%	±0.2	285	±171	0.4%	±0.3	218	±185	0.2%	±0.2
Asian	3,301	±718	5.5%	±1.2	4,580	±1,040	7.0%	±1.5	993	±429	1.1%	±0.5
Native Hawaiian and Other Pacific Islander	34	±51	0.1%	±0.1	0	±31	0.0%	±0.1	43	±78	0.0%	±0.1
Some other race	2,910	±770	4.8%	±1.3	9,476	±1,665	14.4%	±2.5	8,218	±1,769	9.3%	±1.9
Two or more races	4,658	±985	7.7%	±1.6	6,072	±747	9.2%	±1.1	10,978	±2,322	12.5%	±2.5
HISPANIC OR LATINO AND RACE (Census Table DP05)				0.0				0.0				100
Total population	60,398	±2,950	60,398	(X)	65,691	±3,475	65,691	(X)	87,946	±3,353	87,946	(X)
Hispanic or Latino (of any race)	4,499	±825	7.4%	±1.4	17,336	±1,880	26.4%	±2.5	17,172	±2,101	19.5%	±2.2
Not Hispanic or Latino	55,899	±2,950	92.6%	±1.4	48,355	±3,065	73.6%	±2.5	70,774	±3,066	80.5%	±2.2
HEALTH INCIDANCE COVEDAGE (Concue Table DD02)												
HEALTH INSURANCE COVERAGE (Census Table DP03)	60.200	12.040	60.200	(V)	6E 606	12 474	GE 606	(V)	07.040	13 360	07.040	(V)
Civilian noninstitutionalized population	60,306 57,371	±2,946	60,306	(X)	65,636 62,454	±3,471	65,636	(X)	87,248 81,569		87,248	(X) ±1.0
With health insurance coverage With private health insurance		±2,902	95.1%	±0.9 ±2.4	38,383		95.2%	±1.0 ±2.9			93.5%	
With public coverage		±2,465 ±1,671	64.2% 42.4%	±2.4 ±2.1	27,541		58.5% 42.0%	±2.9 ±3.0	33,173 56,044	±2,378 ±3,731	38.0% 64.2%	±2.7 ±2.9
No health insurance coverage	2,935	±558	4.9%	±0.9	3,182	±2,797	4.8%	±3.0 ±1.0	5,679	±3,731	6.5%	±1.0
_	-,				.,				-,			
DISABILITY STATUS OF THE CIVILIAN NONINSTITUTIONALIZED POPULATION (Census Table DP02)												
Total Civilian Noninstitutionalized Population	60,306	±2,946	60,306	(X)	65,636	±3,471	65,636	(X)	87,248	±3,360	87,248	(X)
With a disability	6,764	±629	11.2%	±0.9	5,403	±623	8.2%	±1.0	13,542	±1,497	15.5%	±1.6

	ZCTA5 1					1214			ZCTA5 1	1215		
				Percent				Percent				Percent
		Margin of		Margin of		Margin of		Margin of		Margin of		Margin of
Label	Estimate	Error	Percent	Error	Estimate	Error	Percent	Error	Estimate	Error	Percent	Error
SEX AND AGE (Census Table DP05)												+
Total population	69,630	±2,752	69,630	(X)	88,994	±3,579	88,994	(X)	70,922	±2,720	70,922	(X)
Male	32,557	±1,735	46.8%	±1.5	43,694	±1,787	49.1%	±0.9	35,423	±1,662	49.9%	±1.3
Female	37,073	±1,741	53.2%	±1.5	45,300	±2,090	50.9%	±0.9	35,499	±1,648	50.1%	±1.3
Sex ratio (males per 100 females)	87.8	±5.4	(X)	(X)	96.5	±3.3	(X)	(X)	99.8	±5.3	(X)	(X)
Under 5 years	4,919	±811	7.1%	±1.1	4,689	±773	5.3%	±0.8	5,250	±566	7.4%	±0.7
5 to 9 years	4,013	±702	5.8%	±0.9	5,163	±719	5.8%	±0.7	4,122	±605	5.8%	±0.7
10 to 14 years	4,634	±672	6.7%	±0.9	6,154	±833	6.9%	±0.9	4,143	±511	5.8%	±0.7
15 to 19 years	4,079	±643	5.9%	±0.9	5,104	±716	5.7%	±0.7	2,415	±337	3.4%	±0.5
20 to 24 years	5,275	±670	7.6%	±0.9	4,621	±558	5.2%	±0.6	2,017	±313	2.8%	±0.4
25 to 34 years	12,355	±1,088	17.7%	±1.3	11,179	±990	12.6%	±1.0	13,249	±1,082	18.7%	±1.4
35 to 44 years	9,342	±1,015	13.4%	±1.3	11,961	±854	13.4%	±0.8	14,786	±1,089	20.8%	±1.3
45 to 54 years	7,841	±738	11.3%	±1.0	11,194	±900	12.6%	±0.9	9,901	±891	14.0%	±1.1
55 to 59 years	4,054	±561	5.8%	±0.8	5,713	±551	6.4%	±0.5	3,858	±543	5.4%	±0.8
60 to 64 years	3,638	±592	5.2%	±0.8	6,603	±736	7.4%	±0.8	2,998	±565	4.2%	±0.8
65 to 74 years	5,714	±657	8.2%	±1.0	9,146	±771	10.3%	±0.9	5,305	±579	7.5%	±0.8
75 to 84 years	2,852	±575	4.1%	±0.8	4,733	±640	5.3%	±0.8	2,364	±421	3.3%	±0.6
85 years and over	914	±244	1.3%	±0.4	2,734	±466	3.1%	±0.5	514	±218	0.7%	±0.3
Median age (years)	34.5	±1.3	(X)	(X)	41.1	±1.0	(X)	(X)	37.4	±0.6	(X)	(X)
RACE (Census Table DP05)												+
,	60,620	10.750	00.000	(V)	00.004	12.570	00.004	(V)	70.000	10.700	70.000	(V)
Total population One race	69,630 64,331	±2,752 ±2,677	69,630 92.4%	(X) ±1.4	88,994 83,482	±3,579 ±3,410	88,994 93.8%	(X) ±1.4	70,922 63,078	±2,720 ±2,613	70,922 88.9%	(X) ±1.2
Two or more races	5,299	±2,677	7.6%	±1.4	5,512	±3,410	6.2%	±1.4	7,844	±2,613 ±917	11.1%	±1.2
One race	64,331	±2,677	92.4%	±1.4	83,482	±3,410	93.8%	±1.4	63,078	±2,613	88.9%	±1.2
White	17,385	±1,799	25.0%	±2.5	37,446	±2,141	42.1%	±2.2	50,513	±2,477	71.2%	±2.0
Black or African American	40,773	±2,601	58.6%	±2.9	1,961	±555	2.2%	±0.6	2,582	±493	3.6%	±0.7
American Indian and Alaska Native	34	±38	0.0%	±0.1	864	±538	1.0%	±0.6	298	±204	0.4%	±0.3
Asian	1,179	±295	1.7%	±0.4	33,593	±2,246	37.7%	±2.0	6,460		9.1%	±1.5
Native Hawaiian and Other Pacific Islander	15	±24	0.0%	±0.1	20	±25	0.0%	±0.1	0	±31	0.0%	±0.1
Some other race	4,945	±1,243	7.1%	±1.7	9,598	±1,831	10.8%	±2.0	3,225	±700	4.5%	±0.9
Two or more races	5,299	±985	7.6%	±1.4	5,512	±1,255	6.2%	±1.4	7,844	±917	11.1%	±1.2
HISPANIC OR LATINO AND RACE (Census Table DP05)												
Total population	69,630	±2,752	69,630	(X)	88,994	±3,579	88,994	(X)	70,922	±2,720	70,922	(X)
Hispanic or Latino (of any race)	9,129	±1,543	13.1%	±2.1	14,851	±1,926	16.7%	±2.1	10,299	±1,099	14.5%	±1.4
Not Hispanic or Latino	60,501	±2,764	86.9%	±2.1	74,143	±3,589	83.3%	±2.1	60,623	±2,370	85.5%	±1.4
												+
HEALTH INSURANCE COVERAGE (Census Table DP03)										1		1
Civilian noninstitutionalized population	69,279	±2,754	69,279	(X)	88,371	±3,579	88,371	(X)	70,791	±2,719	70,791	(X)
With health insurance coverage	64,665	±2,683	93.3%	±1.1	81,401	±3,182	92.1%	±1.1	68,743		97.1%	±0.6
With private health insurance		±2,299	58.2%	±2.8	40,886	±2,320	46.3%	±2.0	60,791	±2,381	85.9%	±1.5
With public coverage			50.1%	±2.7	48,023		54.3%	±2.0	14,289	±1,335	20.2%	±1.6
No health insurance coverage	4,614	±771	6.7%	±1.1	6,970	±1,109	7.9%	±1.1	2,048	±441	2.9%	±0.6
DISABILITY STATUS OF THE CIVILIAN NONINSTITUTIONALIZED		-			-		-	1		1		+
POPULATION (Census Table DP02)												
Total Civilian Noninstitutionalized Population	69,279	±2,754	69,279	(X)	88,371	±3,579	88,371	(X)	70,791	±2,719	70,791	(X)
With a disability	8,443	±794	12.2%	±1.1	10,217	±886	11.6%	±1.0	3,923	±513	5.5%	±0.7

	ZCTA5 1	1216			ZCTA5 1	1217			ZCTA5 1	1218		
				Percent				Percent				Percent
		Margin of		Margin of		Margin of		Margin of		Margin of		Margin of
Label	Estimate	Error	Percent	Error	Estimate	Error	Percent	Error	Estimate	Error	Percent	Error
SEX AND AGE (Census Table DP05)	+											+
Total population	59,913	±2,381	59,913	(X)	42,569	±1,862	42,569	(X)	71,313	±3,550	71,313	(X)
Male	26,620	±1,305	44.4%	±1.4	19,711	±979	46.3%	±1.6	36,111	±2,127	50.6%	±1.2
Female	33,293	±1,613	55.6%	±1.4	22,858	±1,326	53.7%	±1.6	35,202	±1,831	49.4%	±1.2
Sex ratio (males per 100 females)	80.0	±4.5	(X)	(X)	86.2	±5.6	(X)	(X)	102.6	±5.1	(X)	(X)
Under 5 years	2,444	±457	4.1%	±0.7	3,216	±526	7.6%	±1.2	4,387	±587	6.2%	±0.7
5 to 9 years	2,796	±565	4.7%	±0.9	1,997	±368	4.7%	±0.8	4,869	±540	6.8%	±0.7
10 to 14 years	1,944	±459	3.2%	±0.7	1,380	±281	3.2%	±0.6	5,460	±745	7.7%	±0.9
15 to 19 years	1,784	±418	3.0%	±0.7	1,373	±269	3.2%	±0.6	5,049	±773	7.1%	±0.9
20 to 24 years	3,095	±543	5.2%	±0.9	1,517	±286	3.6%	±0.6	3,128	±556	4.4%	±0.7
25 to 34 years	18,305	±1,261	30.6%	±1.9	10,853	±994	25.5%	±2.1	9,309	±1,123	13.1%	±1.3
35 to 44 years	10,220	±880	17.1%		8,526	±748	20.0%	±1.6	11,241	±907	15.8%	±1.1
45 to 54 years	6,758	±800	11.3%	±1.2	5,218	±590	12.3%	±1.2	9,957	±954	14.0%	±1.1
55 to 59 years	2,891	±605	4.8%	±1.0	1,960	±290	4.6%	±0.7	4,221	±676	5.9%	±0.9
60 to 64 years	2,543	±516	4.2%	±0.8	1,473	±260	3.5%	±0.6	3,896	±499	5.5%	±0.7
65 to 74 years	4,218	±693	7.0%	±1.1	2,751	±493	6.5%	±1.1	6,038	±646	8.5%	±0.9
75 to 84 years	1,931	±339	3.2%	±0.6	1,626	±315	3.8%	±0.7	2,837	±474	4.0%	±0.6
85 years and over	984	±282	1.6%	±0.5	679	±260	1.6%	±0.6	921	±235	1.3%	±0.3
Median age (years)	34.8	±0.7	(X)	(X)	35.9	±0.9	(X)	(X)	37.7	±0.8	(X)	(X)
RACE (Census Table DP05)												
Total population	59,913	±2,381	59,913	(X)	42,569	±1,862	42,569	(X)	71,313	±3,550	71,313	(X)
One race	53,085	±2,335	88.6%	±1.6	36,209	±1,633	85.1%	±2.2	63,167	±3,735	88.6%	±1.7
Two or more races	6,828	±988	11.4%	±1.6	6,360	±1,055	14.9%	±2.2	8,146	±1,110	11.4%	±1.7
One race	53,085	±2,335	88.6%	±1.6	36,209	±1,633	85.1%	±2.2	63,167	±3,735	88.6%	±1.7
White	18,885	±1,375	31.5%	±2.1	22,392	±1,364	52.6%	±2.7	37,197	±2,534	52.2%	±2.6
Black or African American	28,305	±2,311	47.2%	±3.1	7,472	±988	17.6%	±2.1	6,725	±1,444	9.4%	±1.9
American Indian and Alaska Native	79	±60	0.1%	±0.1	105	±76	0.2%	±0.2	618	±318	0.9%	±0.4
Asian	3,057	±538	5.1%	±0.9	4,028	±533	9.5%	±1.2	13,344	±1,642	18.7%	±1.9
Native Hawaiian and Other Pacific Islander	58	±49	0.1%	±0.1	12	±17	0.0%	±0.1	0	±31	0.0%	±0.1
Some other race	2,701	±661	4.5%	±1.1	2,200	±466	5.2%	±1.1	5,283	±1,185	7.4%	±1.6
Two or more races	6,828	±988	11.4%	±1.6	6,360	±1,055	14.9%	±2.2	8,146	±1,110	11.4%	±1.7
HISPANIC OR LATINO AND RACE (Census Table DP05)				1								+
Total population	59,913	±2,381	59,913	(X)	42,569	±1,862	42,569	(X)	71,313	±3,550	71,313	(X)
Hispanic or Latino (of any race)	7,339	±1,094	12.2%		6,748	±1,002	15.9%	±2.3	12,210	±1,313	17.1%	±1.7
Not Hispanic or Latino	52,574	±2,182	87.8%	±1.7	35,821	±1,872	84.1%	±2.3	59,103	±3,209	82.9%	±1.7
Hot Hopanio of Latino	02,014	±2,102	07.070	±1.7	00,021	1,012	04.170	±2.0	00,100	20,200	02.070	121.7
HEALTH INCHDANCE COVEDAGE (Concus Toble DD02)												
HEALTH INSURANCE COVERAGE (Census Table DP03)	50.700	.0.004	F0 700	()()	40.000	.4.000	40.000	00	70.050	.0.540	70.050	100
Civilian noninstitutionalized population	59,782	±2,381	59,782	(X)	42,069	±1,866	42,069	(X)	70,953		70,953	(X)
With health insurance coverage			93.9%	±0.9			96.2%	±1.1	68,012		95.9%	±0.8
With private health insurance	41,822	±2,268	70.0%	±2.2		±1,692	79.8%	±2.2	43,558		61.4%	±2.9
With public coverage  No health insurance coverage	19,405 3,621	±1,530 ±512	32.5% 6.1%	±2.2 ±0.9	10,121 1,598	±1,165 ±482	24.1% 3.8%	±2.3 ±1.1	31,775 2,941	±2,751 ±560	44.8% 4.1%	±2.9 ±0.8
No nealth insurance coverage	3,021	±312	0.170	±0.9	1,396	1402	3.6%	II.1	2,941	±300	4.170	±0.0
DISABILITY STATUS OF THE CIVILIAN NONINSTITUTIONALIZED												
POPLII ATION (Census Table DP02)												
POPULATION (Census Table DP02)  Total Civilian Noninstitutionalized Population	59,782	±2,381	59,782	(X)	42,069	±1,866	42,069	(X)	70,953	±3,549	70,953	(X)

	ZCTA5 1	1219			ZCTA5 1	1220			ZCTA5 1	1221		
				Percent				Percent				Percent
		Margin of		Margin of								
Label	Estimate	Error	Percent	Error	Estimate	Error	Percent	Error	Estimate	Error	Percent	Error
SEX AND AGE (Census Table DP05)												
Total population	94,737	±3,879	94,737	(X)	90,792	±3,321	90,792	(X)	90,750	±3,323	90,750	(X)
Male	49,087	±2,326	51.8%	±1.2	45,005	±1,889	49.6%	±1.0	42,373	±1,920	46.7%	±1.4
Female	45,650	±2,133	48.2%	±1.2	45,787	±1,920	50.4%	±1.0	48,377	±2,221	53.3%	±1.4
Sex ratio (males per 100 females)	107.5	±5.0	(X)	(X)	98.3	±4.0	(X)	(X)	87.6	±4.8	(X)	(X)
Under 5 years	12,455	±992	13.1%	±0.8	4,809	±740	5.3%	±0.8	4,337	±884	4.8%	±0.9
5 to 9 years	10,680	±982	11.3%	±0.7	7,211	±664	7.9%	±0.7	4,394	±693	4.8%	±0.7
10 to 14 years	9,616	±1,024	10.2%	±0.8	7,431	±815	8.2%	±0.8	4,089	±568	4.5%	±0.6
15 to 19 years	7,738	±727	8.2%	±0.6	5,137	±518	5.7%	±0.5	4,019	±561	4.4%	±0.6
20 to 24 years	5,980	±690	6.3%	±0.8	4,747	±555	5.2%	±0.6	8,020	±1,056	8.8%	±1.1
25 to 34 years	12,460	±1,171	13.2%	±1.2	12,635	±1,117	13.9%	±1.1	24,864	±1,558	27.4%	±1.3
35 to 44 years	10,117	±1,171	10.7%	±1.1	14,364	±1,218	15.8%	±1.2	13,468	±922	14.8%	±1.0
45 to 54 years	7,640	±779	8.1%	±0.8	12,255	±1,030	13.5%	±1.0	9,801	±930	10.8%	±0.9
55 to 59 years	3,618	±500	3.8%	±0.5	4,687	±515	5.2%	±0.5	3,951	±634	4.4%	±0.7
60 to 64 years	3,602	±583	3.8%	±0.6	4,705	±490	5.2%	±0.6	4,420	±728	4.9%	±0.8
65 to 74 years	7,003	±713	7.4%	±0.7	7,977	±831	8.8%	±0.9	5,500	±637	6.1%	±0.7
75 to 84 years	2,114	±442	2.2%	±0.5	3,685	±469	4.1%	±0.5	2,919	±447	3.2%	±0.5
85 years and over	1,714	±337	1.8%	±0.4	1,149	±253	1.3%	±0.3	968	±242	1.1%	±0.3
Median age (years)	25.5	±0.8	(X)	(X)	37.2	±1.0	(X)	(X)	32.8	±0.5	(X)	(X)
			<b>'</b>				, ,				) (	
RACE (Census Table DP05)												
Total population	94,737	±3,879	94,737	(X)	90,792	±3,321	90,792	(X)	90,750	±3,323	90,750	(X)
One race	91,941	±4,012	97.0%	±1.0	84,353	±3,309	92.9%	±1.0	77,466	±3,342	85.4%	±1.9
Two or more races	2,796	±906	3.0%	±1.0	6,439	±899	7.1%	±1.0	13,284	±1,820	14.6%	±1.9
One race	91,941	±4,012	97.0%	±1.0	84,353	±3,309	92.9%	±1.0	77,466	±3,342	85.4%	±1.9
White	58,245	±4,001	61.5%	±2.8	20,328	±1,821	22.4%	±1.9	20,702	±1,575	22.8%	±1.7
Black or African American	1,331	±620	1.4%	±0.7	2,277	±680	2.5%	±0.7	36,876	±2,455	40.6%	±2.2
American Indian and Alaska Native	664	±324	0.7%	±0.3	1,458	±424	1.6%	±0.5	795	±476	0.9%	±0.5
Asian	20,090	±1,681	21.2%	±1.7	36,377	±1,919	40.1%	±2.0	5,215	±1,033	5.7%	±1.1
Native Hawaiian and Other Pacific Islander	0	±31	0.0%	±0.1	0	±31	0.0%	±0.1	81	±108	0.1%	±0.1
Some other race	11,611	±1,877	12.3%	±2.0	23,913	±2,778	26.3%	±2.6	13,797	±1,977	15.2%	±2.0
Two or more races	2,796	±906	3.0%	±1.0	6,439	±899	7.1%	±1.0	13,284	±1,820	14.6%	±1.9
HISPANIC OR LATINO AND RACE (Census Table DP05)												_
Total population	94,737	±3,879	94,737	(X)	90,792	±3,321	90,792	(X)	90,750	±3,323	90,750	(X)
Hispanic or Latino (of any race)	12,384	±1,561	13.1%	±1.6	36,244	±2,821	39.9%	±2.4	28,900	±2,418	31.8%	±2.3
Not Hispanic or Latino	82,353	±3,916	86.9%	±1.6	54,548	±2,486	60.1%	±2.4	61,850	±2,863	68.2%	±2.3
Not this paine of Eatino	02,000	20,010	00.570	1.0	04,040	12,400	00.170	12.7	01,000	12,000	00.270	12.0
UEAL THUNOUPANOE GOVERAGE (C												
HEALTH INSURANCE COVERAGE (Census Table DP03)				100								100
Civilian noninstitutionalized population	93,974	±3,895	93,974	(X)	90,767		90,767	(X)	90,507	±3,322	90,507	(X)
With health insurance coverage		±3,727	94.2%	±0.8			89.1%	±0.9	83,037		91.7%	±1.1
With private health insurance		±2,201	26.4%	±2.2	30,147	±1,864	33.2%	±1.9	54,244		59.9%	±2.2
With public coverage		±3,619	72.9%	±1.9	55,386		61.0%	±1.7	37,892		41.9%	±2.5
No health insurance coverage	5,474	±818	5.8%	±0.8	9,938	±1,033	10.9%	±0.9	7,470	±1,070	8.3%	±1.1
DISABILITY STATUS OF THE CIVILIAN NONINSTITUTIONALIZED					1					1		
POPULATION (Census Table DP02)				<u> </u>			<u> </u>	<u> </u>				<u> </u>
Total Civilian Noninstitutionalized Population	93,974	±3,895	93,974	(X)	90,767		90,767	(X)	90,507	±3,322	90,507	(X)
With a disability	6,114	±717	6.5%	±0.7	7,933		8.7%	±0.9	9,635	±845	10.6%	±1.0

	ZCTA5 1	1222			ZCTA5 1	1223			ZCTA5 1	1224		
				Percent				Percent				Percent
		Margin of		Margin of		Margin of		Margin of		Margin of		Margin of
Label	Estimate	Error	Percent	Error	Estimate	Error	Percent	Error	Estimate	Error	Percent	Error
SEX AND AGE (Census Table DP05)												
Total population	41,418	±1,742	41,418	(X)	78,566	±3,331	78,566	(X)	48,469	±2,719	48,469	(X)
Male	20,130	±1,742	48.6%	±1.4	37,766	±1,887	48.1%	±1.2	22,090	±1,577	45.6%	±2.1
Female	21,288	±1,003	51.4%	±1.4	40,800	±1,914	51.9%	±1.2	26,379	±1,853	54.4%	±2.1
Sex ratio (males per 100 females)	94.6	±5.2	(X)	(X)	92.6	±4.3	(X)	(X)	83.7	±7.3	(X)	(X)
Under 5 years	2,233	±531	5.4%	±1.2	6,661	±871	8.5%	±1.0	2,385	±619	4.9%	±1.2
5 to 9 years	1,476	±348	3.6%	±0.8	6,181	±731	7.9%	±0.8	3,037	±741	6.3%	±1.4
10 to 14 years	1,062	±260	2.6%	±0.6	5,620	±540	7.2%	±0.6	3,164	±629	6.5%	±1.2
15 to 19 years	757	±199	1.8%	±0.5	4,923	±568	6.3%	±0.6	2,910	±672	6.0%	±1.2
20 to 24 years	2,429	±628	5.9%	±1.5	4,370	±550	5.6%	±0.7	2,439	±669	5.0%	±1.3
25 to 34 years	12,895	±1,110	31.1%	±2.4	9,714	±980	12.4%	±1.0	4,993	±674	10.3%	±1.3
35 to 44 years	9,297	±920	22.4%	±1.8	10,046	±792	12.8%	±0.9	4,091	±600	8.4%	±1.2
45 to 54 years	4,108	±563	9.9%	±1.4	8,898	±722	11.3%	±0.9	5,229	±743	10.8%	±1.4
55 to 59 years	1,888	±372	4.6%	±1.0	5,149	±732	6.6%	±0.9	3,094	±621	6.4%	±1.2
60 to 64 years	1,437	±303	3.5%	±0.7	4,575	±594	5.8%	±0.7	3,456	±775	7.1%	±1.6
65 to 74 years	1,954	±308	4.7%	±0.7	6,905	±619	8.8%	±0.8	6,867	±837	14.2%	±1.9
75 to 84 years	1,285	±289	3.1%	±0.7	3,415	±483	4.3%	±0.6	4,740	±563	9.8%	±1.2
85 years and over	597	±170	1.4%	±0.4	2,109	±486	2.7%	±0.6	2,064	±435	4.3%	±0.9
Median age (years)	34.9	±0.7	(X)	(X)	36.4	±1.9	(X)	(X)	47.6	±3.5	(X)	(X)
RACE (Census Table DP05)												
Total population	41,418	±1,742	41,418	(X)	78,566	±3,331	78,566	(X)	48,469	±2,719	48,469	(X)
One race	36,206	±1,510	87.4%	±2.0	75,307	±3,306	95.9%	±0.9	45,254	±2,844	93.4%	±2.3
Two or more races	5,212	±906	12.6%	±2.0	3,259	±674	4.1%	±0.9	3,215	±1,126	6.6%	±2.3
One race	36,206	±1,510	87.4%	±2.0	75,307	±3,306	95.9%	±0.9	45,254	±2,844	93.4%	±2.3
White	29,416	±1,403	71.0%	±2.3	41,478	±2,438	52.8%	±2.5	24,103	±1,879	49.7%	±4.0
Black or African American	1,720	±412	4.2%	±1.0	4,446	±1,161	5.7%	±1.4	11,552	±2,325	23.8%	±4.3
American Indian and Alaska Native	162	±235	0.4%	±0.6	293	±193	0.4%	±0.2	187	±163	0.4%	±0.3
Asian	2,422	±401	5.8%	±1.0	20,502	±1,682	26.1%	±2.0	4,123	±994	8.5%	±2.0
Native Hawaiian and Other Pacific Islander	0	±28	0.0%	±0.1	79	±87	0.1%	±0.1	45	±66	0.1%	±0.1
Some other race	2,486	±607	6.0%	±1.5	8,509	±1,638	10.8%	±2.0	5,244	±1,707	10.8%	±3.4
Two or more races	5,212	±906	12.6%	±2.0	3,259	±674	4.1%	±0.9	3,215	±1,126	6.6%	±2.3
HISPANIC OR LATINO AND RACE (Census Table DP05)								1		1		
Total population	41,418	±1,742	41,418	(X)	78,566	±3,331	78,566	(X)	48,469	±2,719	48,469	(X)
Hispanic or Latino (of any race)	6,313	±937	15.2%	±2.1	10,415	±1,611	13.3%	±1.9	8,974	±2,719 ±1,921	18.5%	±3.7
Not Hispanic or Latino	35,105	±1,614	84.8%	±2.1	68,151	±3,038	86.7%	±1.9	39,495	±1,921 ±2,570	81.5%	±3.7
Not rispanic of Latino	33,103	1,014	04.070	IZ.I	00,131	13,036	00.7 70	11.9	39,493	12,370	01.570	13.7
HEALTH INSURANCE COVERAGE (Census Table DP03)												
, ,	44 202	±1,742	41,393	(X)	70 551	±3,327	78,551	(X)	47,553	±2,720	47,553	(X)
Civilian noninstitutionalized population With health insurance coverage	41,393 38,590	±1,742 ±1,647	93.2%	±1.6	78,551 74,187		94.4%	±0.8	45,500		95.7%	±1.2
With private health insurance		±1,462	72.9%	±1.0 ±2.5	36,893		47.0%	±0.8 ±2.5	18,797	±2,740 ±1,743	39.5%	±3.4
With public coverage		±1,402	26.0%	±2.0	42,970		54.7%	±2.4	31,940		67.2%	±3.5
No health insurance coverage	2,803	±695	6.8%	±1.6	4,364	±632	5.6%	±0.8	2,053	±564	4.3%	±1.2
DISABILITY STATUS OF THE CIVILIAN NONINSTITUTIONALIZED												
POPULATION (Census Table DP02)												1
Total Civilian Noninstitutionalized Population	41,393	±1,742	41,393	(X)	78,551	±3,327	78,551	(X)	47,553	±2,720	47,553	(X)
With a disability	2,916	±442	7.0%	±1.0	8,820	±620	11.2%	±0.8	9,898	±760	20.8%	±2.0

	ZCTA5 1	1225			ZCTA5 1	1226			ZCTA5 1	1228		
				Percent				Percent				Percent
		Margin of		Margin of		Margin of		Margin of		Margin of		Margin of
Label	Estimate	Error	Percent	Error	Estimate	Error	Percent	Error	Estimate	Error	Percent	Error
SEX AND AGE (Census Table DP05)												+
Total population	56,544	±2,876	56,544	(X)	100,022	±4,535	100,022	(X)	42,325	±2,129	42,325	(X)
Male	26,777	±1,679	47.4%	±2.0	46,690	±2,508	46.7%	±1.2	20,952	±1,220	49.5%	±1.5
Female	29,767	±1,996	52.6%	±2.0	53,332	±2,635	53.3%	±1.2	21,373	±1,257	50.5%	±1.5
Sex ratio (males per 100 females)	90.0	±7.3	(X)	(X)	87.5	±4.3	(X)	(X)	98.0	±5.9	(X)	(X)
Under 5 years	2,828	±626	5.0%	±1.0	5,423	±734	5.4%	±0.7	2,149	±390	5.1%	±0.9
5 to 9 years	2,446	±567	4.3%	±1.0	4,665	±580	4.7%	±0.5	2,230	±324	5.3%	±0.7
10 to 14 years	2,867	±747	5.1%	±1.2	5,156	±706	5.2%	±0.7	2,071	±260	4.9%	±0.6
15 to 19 years	2,430	±484	4.3%	±0.8	4,910	±874	4.9%	±0.8	2,102	±266	5.0%	±0.6
20 to 24 years	3,089	±661	5.5%	±1.1	6,100	±798	6.1%	±0.7	2,328	±396	5.5%	±0.8
25 to 34 years	11,870	±1,007	21.0%	±1.7	21,021	±1,465	21.0%	±1.4	5,276	±732	12.5%	±1.5
35 to 44 years	8,387	±858	14.8%	±1.3	15,416	±1,276	15.4%	±1.1	5,503	±660	13.0%	±1.3
45 to 54 years	7,284	±928	12.9%	±1.4	12,323	±1,192	12.3%	±1.0	5,883	±664	13.9%	±1.5
55 to 59 years	3,367	±644	6.0%	±1.1	6,211	±711	6.2%	±0.6	3,025	±410	7.1%	±0.9
60 to 64 years	2,944	±558	5.2%	±1.0	5,030	±710	5.0%	±0.7	2,896	±375	6.8%	±0.9
65 to 74 years	5,188	±641	9.2%	±1.2	8,929	±826	8.9%	±0.8	4,889	±498	11.6%	±1.2
75 to 84 years	2,906	±551	5.1%	±1.0	3,618	±515	3.6%	±0.5	2,800	±316	6.6%	±0.8
85 years and over	938	±346	1.7%	±0.6	1,220	±257	1.2%	±0.3	1,173	±245	2.8%	±0.5
Median age (years)	38.1	±1.7	(X)	(X)	36.5	±0.8	(X)	(X)	44.1	±1.4	(X)	(X)
RACE (Census Table DP05)												
Total population	56,544	±2,876	56,544	(X)		±4,535	100,022		42,325	±2,129	42,325	(X)
One race	51,583		91.2%	±1.4	90,616	±4,507	90.6%	±1.4	40,521	±2,102	95.7%	±0.8
Two or more races	4,961	±748	8.8%	±1.4	9,406	±1,361	9.4%	±1.4	1,804	±327	4.3%	±0.8
One race	51,583		91.2%	±1.4	90,616	±4,507	90.6%	±1.4	40,521	±2,102	95.7%	±0.8
White	16,108	±2,062	28.5%	±3.2	15,760	±1,329	15.8%	±1.5	21,557	±1,638	50.9%	±2.9
Black or African American	30,571	±2,462	54.1%	±3.2	60,532	±3,962	60.5%	±2.3	576	±235	1.4%	±0.5
American Indian and Alaska Native	28	±96	0.0%	±0.2	1,108	±548	1.1%	±0.5	204	±161	0.5%	±0.4
Asian	1,723	±442	3.0%	±0.8	4,063	±595	4.1%	±0.6	15,485	±1,627	36.6%	±3.2
Native Hawaiian and Other Pacific Islander	0	±31	0.0%	±0.1	42	±44	0.0%	±0.1	9	±17	0.0%	±0.1
Some other race	3,153	±929	5.6%	±1.6	9,111	±1,483	9.1%	±1.3	2,690	±752	6.4%	±1.8
Two or more races	4,961	±748	8.8%	±1.4	9,406	±1,361	9.4%	±1.4	1,804	±327	4.3%	±0.8
HISPANIC OR LATINO AND RACE (Census Table DP05)												+
Total population	56,544	±2,876	56,544	(X)	100,022	±4,535	100,022	(X)	42,325	±2,129	42,325	(X)
Hispanic or Latino (of any race)	5,860	±873	10.4%	±1.5	16,356	±1,973	16.4%	±1.7	5,333	±924	12.6%	±2.1
Not Hispanic or Latino	50,684	±2,765	89.6%	±1.5	83,666	±3,805	83.6%	±1.7	36,992	±2,140	87.4%	±2.1
		,							,	,		
HEALTH INCHDANCE COVEDACE (Comment of the DROS)												
HEALTH INSURANCE COVERAGE (Census Table DP03)	FO 100	.0.075	50.400	00	00.440	. 4 5 4 4	00.440	()()	40.400	10.400	40.400	- (20)
Civilian noninstitutionalized population	56,463	±2,875	56,463	(X)	99,413	±4,511	99,413	(X)	42,169	±2,129	42,169	(X)
With health insurance coverage	53,124		94.1%	±1.3	91,582	±4,143	92.1%	±1.3	39,713	±2,094	94.2%	±1.4
With private health insurance	36,858		65.3%	±3.8	55,135		55.5%	±2.1	24,043		57.0%	±2.6
With public coverage	21,349		37.8%	±3.5	43,002	±2,659	43.3% 7.9%	±2.1	20,239	±1,327	48.0%	±2.1
No health insurance coverage	3,339	±758	5.9%	±1.3	7,831	±1,437	1.970	±1.3	2,456	±592	5.8%	±1.4
DISABILITY STATUS OF THE CIVILIAN NONINSTITUTIONALIZED	1								1	1		1
POPULATION (Census Table DP02)												
Total Civilian Noninstitutionalized Population	56,463	±2,875	56,463	(X)	99,413	±4,511	99,413	(X)	42,169	±2,129	42,169	(X)
With a disability	5,762	±789	10.2%	±1.5	8,195	±889	8.2%	±0.8	4,759	±515	11.3%	±1.2

	ZCTA5 1	1229			ZCTA5 1	1230			ZCTA5 1	1231		
				Percent				Percent				Percent
		Margin of		Margin of		Margin of		Margin of		Margin of		Margin of
Label	Estimate	Error	Percent	Error	Estimate	Error	Percent	Error	Estimate	Error	Percent	Error
SEX AND AGE (Census Table DP05)												_
Total population	79,802	±2,671	79,802	(X)	88,252	±2,692	88,252	(X)	39,704	±2,568	39,704	(X)
Male	38,753	±1,533	48.6%	±1.1	43,740	±1,777	49.6%	±1.2	18,618	±1,412	46.9%	±2.5
Female	41,049	±1,628	51.4%	±1.1	44,512	±1,777	50.4%	±1.2	21,086	±1,412	53.1%	±2.5
Sex ratio (males per 100 females)	94.4	±4.0	(X)	(X)	98.3	±4.6	(X)	(X)	88.3	±9.0	(X)	(X)
Under 5 years	5,123	±625	6.4%	±0.7	7,619	±793	8.6%	±0.8	2,902	±646	7.3%	±1.5
5 to 9 years	5,025	±566	6.3%	±0.6	5,696	±744	6.5%	±0.8	2,657	±724	6.7%	±1.6
10 to 14 years	5,178	±739	6.5%	±0.8	7,043	±801	8.0%	±0.8	2,713	±435	6.8%	±1.0
15 to 19 years	3,982	±559	5.0%	±0.6	5,292	±513	6.0%	±0.6	1,700	±404	4.3%	±1.0
20 to 24 years	3,302	±455	4.1%	±0.5	4,273	±502	4.8%	±0.6	1,356	±452	3.4%	±1.1
25 to 34 years	9,819	±835	12.3%	±1.0	12,948	±1,053	14.7%	±1.0	6,070	±711	15.3%	±2.0
35 to 44 years	9,553	±707	12.0%	±0.8	10,710	±872	12.1%	±0.9	8,021	±1,044	20.2%	±1.9
45 to 54 years	9,569	±920	12.0%	±1.0	9,308	±636	10.5%	±0.7	5,518	±876	13.9%	±1.8
55 to 59 years	5,508	±627	6.9%	±0.7	4,527	±491	5.1%	±0.7	2,623	±505	6.6%	±1.3
60 to 64 years	5,788	±766	7.3%	±1.0	5,025	±630	5.7%	±0.7	1,801	±394	4.5%	±1.0
65 to 74 years	9,750	±640	12.2%	±0.9	9,806	±694	11.1%	±0.7	2,489	±497	6.3%	±1.3
75 to 84 years	5,085	±528	6.4%	±0.7	3,889	±466	4.4%	±0.5	1,562	±468	3.9%	±1.2
85 years and over	2,120	±372	2.7%	±0.7	2,116	±417	2.4%	±0.5	292	±173	0.7%	±0.4
Median age (years)	42.5	±1.4	(X)	(X)	36.0	±1.0	(X)	(X)	37.7	±1.0	(X)	(X)
iviedian age (years)	42.5	11.4	(//)	(^)	30.0	11.0	(^)	(^)	51.1	1.0	(^)	(^)
RACE (Census Table DP05)												+
Total population	79,802	±2,671	79,802	(X)	88,252	±2,692	88,252	(X)	39,704	±2,568	39,704	(X)
One race	74,989	±2,793	94.0%	±1.0	83,353	±2,617	94.4%	±1.2	35,308	±2,471	88.9%	±1.5
Two or more races	4,813	±777	6.0%	±1.0	4,899	±1,098	5.6%	±1.2	4,396	±626	11.1%	±1.5
One race	74,989	±2,793	94.0%	±1.0	83,353	±2,617	94.4%	±1.2	35,308	±2,471	88.9%	±1.5
White	48,542	±2,620	60.8%	±2.4	53,196	±2,209	60.3%	±2.1	25,607	±1,945	64.5%	±2.9
Black or African American	5,301	±917	6.6%	±1.1	7,645	±979	8.7%	±1.1	4,937	±1,345	12.4%	±3.1
American Indian and Alaska Native	86	±84	0.0%	±0.1	646	±471	0.7%	±0.5	74	±84	0.2%	±0.2
Asian	17,803	±1,422	22.3%	±1.8	14,698	±1,597	16.7%	±1.7	1,956	±454	4.9%	±1.2
Native Hawaiian and Other Pacific Islander	81	±106	0.1%	±0.1	59	±56	0.1%	±0.1	1,930	±14	0.0%	±0.1
Some other race	3,176	±1,026	4.0%	±1.2	7,109	±1,570	8.1%	±1.7	2,724	±1,237	6.9%	±3.0
Two or more races	4,813	±777	6.0%	±1.0	4,899	±1,098	5.6%	±1.7	4,396	±626	11.1%	±1.5
Two of filore faces	4,613	1111	0.070	±1.0	4,099	11,090	3.0 /0	±1.∠	4,390	1020	11.170	11.5
HISPANIC OR LATINO AND RACE (Census Table DP05)												+
Total population	79,802	±2,671	79,802	(X)	88,252	±2,692	88,252	(X)	39,704	±2,568	39,704	(X)
Hispanic or Latino (of any race)	7,261	±1,292	9.1%	±1.5	11,187	±1,971	12.7%	±2.1	6,281	±1,333	15.8%	±3.0
Not Hispanic or Latino	72,541	±2,422	90.9%	±1.5	77,065	±2,715	87.3%	±2.1	33,423	±2,232	84.2%	±3.0
Troct inspanie of Launo	72,041	±2, <del>4</del> 22	30.370	1.0	77,000	±2,7 10	07.070	±2.1	00,420	12,202	04.270	
				†		1		†		†		+
HEALTH INSURANCE COVERAGE (Census Table DP03)				1				1		1		1
Civilian noninstitutionalized population	79,599	±2,667	79,599	(X)	88,028	±2,692	88,028	(X)	39,693	±2,568	39,693	(X)
With health insurance coverage		±2,730	95.4%	±0.7			93.0%	±1.2	38,224		96.3%	±1.0
With private health insurance	45,722	±2,876	57.4%	±2.4	42,807	±1,951	48.6%	±2.2	29,697	±2,447	74.8%	±2.4
With public coverage		±1,690	48.6%	±2.2	47,521		54.0%	±2.1	10,947	±984	27.6%	±2.5
No health insurance coverage	3,700	±506	4.6%	±0.7	6,166	±1,077	7.0%	±1.2	1,469	±427	3.7%	±1.0
DISABILITY STATUS OF THE CIVILIAN NONINSTITUTIONALIZED							1					
POPULATION (Census Table DP02)				1				1		1		
Total Civilian Noninstitutionalized Population	79,599	±2,667	79,599	(X)	88,028		88,028	(X)	39,693	±2,568	39,693	(X)
With a disability	8,788	±694	11.0%	±1.0	11,938	±885	13.6%	±1.0	3,254	±420	8.2%	±1.1

	ZCTA5 1	1232			ZCTA5 1	1233			ZCTA5 1	1234		
				Percent				Percent				Percent
		Margin of		Margin of		Margin of		Margin of		Margin of		Margin of
Label	Estimate	Error	Percent	Error	Estimate	Error	Percent	Error	Estimate	Error	Percent	Error
SEX AND AGE (Census Table DP05)												+
Total population	26,681	±1,870	26,681	(X)	82,711	±2,976	82,711	(X)	87,778	±2,394	87,778	(X)
Male	14,669	±1,078	55.0%	±1.7	38,328	±1,647	46.3%	±1.3	40,562	±1,569	46.2%	±1.1
Female	12,012	±1,000	45.0%	±1.7	44,383	±2,025	53.7%	±1.3	47,216	±1,475	53.8%	±1.1
Sex ratio (males per 100 females)	122.1	±8.3	(X)	(X)	86.4	±4.5	(X)	(X)	85.9	±3.8	(X)	(X)
Under 5 years	1,338	±342	5.0%	±1.2	5,371	±821	6.5%	±0.9	4,386	±590	5.0%	±0.6
5 to 9 years	1,186	±334	4.4%	±1.1	4,507	±662	5.4%	±0.7	4,695	±657	5.3%	±0.7
10 to 14 years	1,677	±444	6.3%	±1.5	4,327	±624	5.2%	±0.7	6,014	±638	6.9%	±0.7
15 to 19 years	1,354	±328	5.1%	±1.2	4,052	±692	4.9%	±0.8	4,881	±532	5.6%	±0.6
20 to 24 years	1,459	±352	5.5%	±1.3	6,617	±992	8.0%	±1.1	4,830	±552	5.5%	±0.6
25 to 34 years	5,531	±792	20.7%	±2.5	16,140	±1,298	19.5%	±1.5	9,957	±859	11.3%	±0.9
35 to 44 years	4,846	±570	18.2%	±1.9	11,151	±949	13.5%	±1.1	10,895	±810	12.4%	±0.9
45 to 54 years	3,779	±581	14.2%	±2.2	10,211	±922	12.3%	±1.1	11,443	±928	13.0%	±1.0
55 to 59 years	1,848	±371	6.9%	±1.3	5,473	±728	6.6%	±0.9	5,631	±516	6.4%	±0.6
60 to 64 years	1,174	±320	4.4%	±1.2	4,663	±649	5.6%	±0.8	6,808	±621	7.8%	±0.7
65 to 74 years	1,613	±376	6.0%	±1.3	6,414	±893	7.8%	±1.1	10,531	±823	12.0%	±1.0
75 to 84 years	625	±188	2.3%	±0.7	2,566	±461	3.1%	±0.6	5,562	±735	6.3%	±0.8
85 years and over	251	±131	0.9%	±0.5	1,219	±349	1.5%	±0.4	2,145	±398	2.4%	±0.4
Median age (years)	36.7	±1.3	(X)	(X)	35.2	±1.0	(X)	(X)	43.3	±1.5	(X)	(X)
RACE (Census Table DP05)												
Total population	26,681	±1,870	26,681	(X)	82,711	±2,976	82,711	(X)	87,778	±2,394	87,778	(X)
One race	23,448	±1,815	87.9%	±2.5	76,364	±3,026	92.3%	±1.3	82,017	±2,267	93.4%	±1.2
Two or more races	3,233	±693	12.1%	±2.5	6,347	±1,126	7.7%	±1.3	5,761	±1,138	6.6%	±1.2
One race	23,448	±1,815	87.9%	±2.5	76,364	±3,026	92.3%	±1.3	82,017	±2,267	93.4%	±1.2
White	9,232	±987	34.6%	±3.3	11,722	±1,401	14.2%	±1.7	31,896	±1,766	36.3%	±2.0
Black or African American	1,951	±406	7.3%	±1.4	56,487	±3,254	68.3%	±2.6	37,589	±2,110	42.8%	±2.0
American Indian and Alaska Native	1,049	±472	3.9%	±1.8	327	±214	0.4%	±0.3	432	±327	0.5%	±0.4
Asian	4,002	±533	15.0%	±2.1	1,280	±402	1.5%	±0.5	7,205		8.2%	±1.2
Native Hawaiian and Other Pacific Islander	11	±21	0.0%	±0.1	39	±52	0.0%	±0.1	0	±31	0.0%	±0.1
Some other race	7,203	±1,496	27.0%	±4.7	6,509	±1,361	7.9%	±1.7	4,895	±1,293	5.6%	±1.5
Two or more races	3,233	±693	12.1%	±2.5	6,347	±1,126	7.7%	±1.3	5,761	±1,138	6.6%	±1.2
HISPANIC OR LATINO AND RACE (Census Table DP05)												+
Total population	26,681	±1,870	26,681	(X)	82,711	±2,976	82,711	(X)	87,778	±2,394	87,778	(X)
Hispanic or Latino (of any race)	13,898	±1,580	52.1%	±3.4	13,291	±1,657	16.1%	±2.0	8,569	±1,323	9.8%	±1.5
Not Hispanic or Latino	12,783	±998	47.9%	±3.4	69,420	±3,079	83.9%	±2.0	79,209	±2,535	90.2%	±1.5
The trippanie of Edulie	12,100	2000	11.070	20.1	00,120	20,070	00.070		10,200	12,000	00.270	
HEALTH INCIDANCE COVEDAGE (Concue Toble DROS)												
HEALTH INSURANCE COVERAGE (Census Table DP03)	05.447	14.050	05 447	()()	00.440	10.075	00.440	()()	07.050	10.070	07.050	(V)
Civilian noninstitutionalized population	25,117	±1,853	25,117	(X)	82,448	±2,975	82,448	(X)	87,650	±2,379	87,650	(X)
With health insurance coverage			89.7%	±2.1	76,817		93.2%	±1.3	84,225		96.1%	±0.6
With private health insurance			53.0% 44.9%	±3.9 ±3.3	46,151 36,112		56.0% 43.8%	±2.7 ±2.5	58,823 36,554		67.1% 41.7%	±1.8
With public coverage		±1,178										±1.6
No health insurance coverage	2,587	±610	10.3%	±2.1	5,631	±1,015	6.8%	±1.3	3,425	±545	3.9%	±0.6
DISABILITY STATUS OF THE CIVILIAN NONINSTITUTIONALIZED								1				
POPULATION (Census Table DP02)												<u> </u>
Total Civilian Noninstitutionalized Population	25,117		25,117	(X)	82,448		82,448	(X)	87,650	±2,379	87,650	(X)
With a disability	2,942	±501	11.7%	±1.9	10,193	±935	12.4%	±1.1	9,761	±727	11.1%	±0.8

	ZCTA5 1	1235			ZCTA5 1	1236			ZCTA5 1	1237		
				Percent				Percent				Percent
		Margin of		Margin of		Margin of		Margin of		Margin of		Margin of
Label	Estimate	Error	Percent	Error	Estimate	Error	Percent	Error	Estimate	Error	Percent	Error
SEX AND AGE (Census Table DP05)												+
Total population	81,958	±2,730	81,958	(X)	95,174	±3,318	95,174	(X)	45,233	±2,394	45,233	(X)
Male	38,930	±1,586	47.5%	±1.1	43,576	±1,954	45.8%	±1.1	23,215	±1,507	51.3%	±1.8
Female	43,028	±1,734	52.5%	±1.1	51,598	±1,981	54.2%	±1.1	22,018	±1,374	48.7%	±1.8
Sex ratio (males per 100 females)	90.5	±4.2	(X)	(X)	84.5	±3.8	(X)	(X)	105.4	±7.4	(X)	(X)
Under 5 years	4,077	±651	5.0%	±0.7	5,658	±819	5.9%	±0.7	1,834	±494	4.1%	±1.0
5 to 9 years	3,865	±477	4.7%	±0.6	5,769	±630	6.1%	±0.6	1,295	±349	2.9%	±0.7
10 to 14 years	3,789	±625	4.6%	±0.7	5,259	±509	5.5%	±0.5	2,192	±519	4.8%	±1.1
15 to 19 years	3,447	±613	4.2%	±0.7	5,407	±517	5.7%	±0.5	1,903	±440	4.2%	±0.9
20 to 24 years	3,758	±566	4.6%	±0.7	5,606	±586	5.9%	±0.6	4,034	±624	8.9%	±1.3
25 to 34 years	9,805	±1,046	12.0%	±1.2	13,787	±1,106	14.5%	±1.0	13,258	±1,088	29.3%	±2.1
35 to 44 years	10,120	±832	12.3%	±1.0	11,429	±818	12.0%	±0.8	7,522	±815	16.6%	±1.6
45 to 54 years	9,877	±865	12.1%	±0.9	11,419	±749	12.0%	±0.9	5,061	±682	11.2%	±1.6
55 to 59 years	6,407	±645	7.8%	±0.8	6,907	±615	7.3%	±0.5	1,966	±497	4.3%	±1.1
60 to 64 years	5,980	±609	7.3%	±0.8	6,488	±583	6.8%	±0.6	1,634	±384	3.6%	±0.8
65 to 74 years	11,360	±909	13.9%	±1.1	10,261	±646	10.8%	±0.7	2,954	±462	6.5%	±1.0
75 to 84 years	6,189	±648	7.6%	±0.8	5,340	±639	5.6%	±0.6	1,333	±542	2.9%	±1.2
85 years and over	3,284	±529	4.0%	±0.6	1,844	±288	1.9%	±0.3	247	±156	0.5%	±0.4
Median age (years)	47.2	±1.4	(X)	(X)	40.4	±1.0	(X)	(X)	33.3	±0.9	(X)	(X)
RACE (Census Table DP05)												
Total population	81,958		81,958	(X)	95,174	±3,318	95,174	(X)	45,233	±2,394	45,233	(X)
One race	74,698		91.1%	±1.7	87,572	±3,091	92.0%	±1.0	37,673	±2,494	83.3%	±3.0
Two or more races	7,260	±1,379	8.9%	±1.7	7,602	±1,053	8.0%	±1.0	7,560	±1,377	16.7%	±3.0
One race	74,698	±2,854	91.1%	±1.7	87,572	±3,091	92.0%	±1.0	37,673	±2,494	83.3%	±3.0
White	56,257	±2,289	68.6%	±2.0	4,638	±827	4.9%	±0.9	14,395	±1,104	31.8%	±2.6
Black or African American	2,345	±515	2.9%	±0.6	76,552	±3,060	80.4%	±1.5	5,924	±1,068	13.1%	±2.3
American Indian and Alaska Native	189	±157	0.2%	±0.2	86	±60	0.1%	±0.1	1,100	±590	2.4%	±1.3
Asian	12,036	±1,122	14.7%	±1.3	2,286	±408	2.4%	±0.4	3,084	±592	6.8%	±1.4
Native Hawaiian and Other Pacific Islander	6	±12	0.0%	±0.1	5	±11	0.0%	±0.1	0	±28	0.0%	±0.1
Some other race	3,865	±995	4.7%	±1.2	4,005	±1,062	4.2%	±1.1	13,170	±2,087	29.1%	±3.8
Two or more races	7,260	±1,379	8.9%	±1.7	7,602	±1,053	8.0%	±1.0	7,560	±1,377	16.7%	±3.0
HISPANIC OR LATINO AND RACE (Census Table DP05)												-
Total population	81,958	±2,730	81,958	(X)	95,174	±3,318	95,174	(X)	45,233	±2,394	45,233	(X)
Hispanic or Latino (of any race)	7,105		8.7%	±1.3	7,911	±1,314	8.3%	±1.4	23,645	±2,287	52.3%	±3.4
Not Hispanic or Latino	74,853		91.3%	±1.3	87,263		91.7%	±1.4	21,588	±1,583	47.7%	±3.4
Not Hispanic of Eating	74,000	12,720	31.370	11.0	07,200	10,441	31.770	21.4	21,000	1,000	47.770	20.4
HEALTH NICHBANGE COVERAGE (October Table DROO)												
HEALTH INSURANCE COVERAGE (Census Table DP03)	00.00=	.0.705	00.007	()()	04.470	.0.047	04.470	()()	45.000	.0.004	45.000	100
Civilian noninstitutionalized population	80,887		80,887	(X)	94,472		94,472	(X)	45,226	±2,394	45,226	(X)
With health insurance coverage			93.5%	±1.0	89,252		94.5%	±0.6	39,622		87.6%	±2.1
With private health insurance			49.1%	±2.2	55,161		58.4%	±1.9	23,828		52.7%	±2.8
With public coverage  No health insurance coverage			54.1%	±2.6	42,659 5,220		45.2%	±1.9	19,038	±1,556	42.1%	±2.6
ino nealui insurance coverage	5,274	±826	6.5%	±1.0	ე,∠∠∪	±619	5.5%	±0.6	5,604	±1,049	12.4%	±2.1
DISABILITY STATUS OF THE CIVILIAN NONINSTITUTIONALIZED												
POPULATION (Census Table DP02)												
Total Civilian Noninstitutionalized Population	80,887		80,887	(X)	94,472		94,472	(X)	45,226	±2,394	45,226	(X)
With a disability	12,117	±923	15.0%	±1.2	8,468	±766	9.0%	±0.7	4,579	±696	10.1%	±1.5

	ZCTA5 1	1238			ZCTA5 1	1239			ZCTA5 1	1249		
				Percent				Percent				Percent
		Margin of		Margin of		Margin of		Margin of		Margin of		Margin of
Label	Estimate	Error	Percent	Error	Estimate	Error	Percent	Error	Estimate	Error	Percent	Error
SEX AND AGE (Census Table DP05)												
Total population	58,462	±2,503	58,462	(X)	16,047	±1,291	16,047	(X)	45,353	±2,840	45,353	(X)
Male	26,369	±1,212	45.1%	±1.3	6,306	±797	39.3%	±3.2	21,541	±1,539	47.5%	±1.6
Female	32,093	±1,716	54.9%	±1.3	9,741	±833	60.7%	±3.2	23,812	±1,662	52.5%	±1.6
Sex ratio (males per 100 females)	82.2	±4.3	(X)	(X)	64.7	±8.6	(X)	(X)	90.5	±5.9	(X)	(X)
Under 5 years	4,248	±770	7.3%	±1.2	431	±220	2.7%	±1.3	4,700	±990	10.4%	±1.9
5 to 9 years	2,068	±345	3.5%	±0.6	724	±260	4.5%	±1.5	3,275	±682	7.2%	±1.3
10 to 14 years	2,767	±556	4.7%	±0.9	1,054	±361	6.6%	±2.1	2,996	±570	6.6%	±1.0
15 to 19 years	1,258	±325	2.2%	±0.5	1,144	±320	7.1%	±1.8	3,094	±698	6.8%	±1.4
20 to 24 years	1,966	±456	3.4%	±0.7	606	±256	3.8%	±1.5	2,009	±480	4.4%	±1.1
25 to 34 years	15,840	±1,084	27.1%	±1.8	1,555	±440	9.7%	±2.5	11,806	±967	26.0%	±2.4
35 to 44 years	10,629	±739	18.2%	±1.3	2,008	±460	12.5%	±2.6	7,534	±938	16.6%	±1.8
45 to 54 years	7,665	±907	13.1%	±1.3	1,612	±334	10.0%	±2.1	3,676	±664	8.1%	±1.4
55 to 59 years	2,957	±515	5.1%	±0.9	1,068	±324	6.7%	±2.0	1,902	±569	4.2%	±1.3
60 to 64 years	1,957	±365	3.3%	±0.6	958	±242	6.0%	±1.5	1,075	±346	2.4%	±0.8
65 to 74 years	4,430	±483	7.6%	±0.8	2,080	±453	13.0%	±2.9	2,184	±467	4.8%	±1.1
75 to 84 years	1,926	±346	3.3%	±0.6	1,790	±521	11.2%	±3.3	676	±249	1.5%	±0.6
85 years and over	751	±231	1.3%	±0.4	1,017	±310	6.3%	±2.0	426	±263	0.9%	±0.6
Median age (years)	35.7	±0.7	(X)	(X)	48.8	±6.9	(X)	(X)	30.5	±1.1	(X)	(X)
RACE (Census Table DP05)												
Total population	58,462	±2,503	58,462	(X)	16,047	±1,291	16,047	(X)	45,353	±2,840	45,353	(X)
One race	50,869	±2,255	87.0%	±1.7	14,624	±1,195	91.1%	±2.5	40,779	±2,713	89.9%	±1.9
Two or more races	7,593	±1,083	13.0%	±1.7	1,423	±434	8.9%	±2.5	4,574	±903	10.1%	±1.9
One race	50,869	±2,255	87.0%	±1.7	14,624	±1,195	91.1%	±2.5	40,779	±2,713	89.9%	±1.9
White	29,245	±1,375	50.0%	±2.3	2,590	±647	16.1%	±3.9	30,646	±2,765	67.6%	±4.3
Black or African American	14,695	±1,618	25.1%	±2.1	9,773	±1,152	60.9%	±5.1	4,453	±1,597	9.8%	±3.5
American Indian and Alaska Native	252	±174	0.4%	±0.3	429	±283	2.7%	±1.7	222	±266	0.5%	±0.6
Asian	4,106	±610	7.0%	±1.1	478	±285	3.0%	±1.8	2,402	±601	5.3%	±1.3
Native Hawaiian and Other Pacific Islander	0	±31	0.0%	±0.1	0	±21	0.0%	±0.2	0	±28	0.0%	±0.1
Some other race	2,571	±540	4.4%	±0.9	1,354	±523	8.4%	±3.2	3,056	±966	6.7%	±2.1
Two or more races	7,593	±1,083	13.0%	±1.7	1,423	±434	8.9%	±2.5	4,574	±903	10.1%	±1.9
HISPANIC OR LATINO AND RACE (Census Table DP05)												
Total population	58,462	±2,503	58,462	(X)	16,047	±1,291	16,047	(X)	45,353	±2,840	45,353	(X)
Hispanic or Latino (of any race)	8,547	±930	14.6%	±1.4	3,048	±842	19.0%	±4.6	8,201	±1,383	18.1%	±2.8
Not Hispanic or Latino	49,915	±2,241	85.4%	±1.4	12,999	±1,072	81.0%	±4.6	37,152	±2,576	81.9%	±2.8
TVOCT HOPAING OF EAGING	40,010	±2,2-1	00.470	±17	12,000	1,072	01.070	14.0	07,102	12,010	01.070	12.0
HEALTH INSURANCE COVERAGE (Census Table DP03)				0.0				0.0	ļ. <u> </u>			0.0
Civilian noninstitutionalized population	58,031	±2,500	58,031	(X)	15,834	±1,291	15,834	(X)	45,175	±2,839	45,175	(X)
With health insurance coverage	56,177	±2,447	96.8%	±0.7	15,478	±1,291	97.8%	±1.4	43,608	±2,877	96.5%	±0.9
With private health insurance		±2,020	77.7%	±2.0	8,174		51.6%	±5.5	25,385		56.2%	±3.3
With public coverage	15,061	±1,507	26.0%	±2.1	9,294		58.7%	±5.4	20,364		45.1%	±3.8
No health insurance coverage	1,854	±439	3.2%	±0.7	356	±227	2.2%	±1.4	1,567	±386	3.5%	±0.9
DISABILITY STATUS OF THE CIVILIAN NONINSTITUTIONALIZED								1				
POPULATION (Census Table DP02)							1		1			
Total Civilian Noninstitutionalized Population	58,031	±2,500	58,031	(X)	15,834	±1,291	15,834	(X)	45,175	±2,839	45,175	(X)
With a disability	5,196	±794	9.0%	±1.3	4,221	±661	26.7%	±4.2	2,783	±552	6.2%	±1.3

	ZCTA5 1	1416			ZCTA5 1			
		N 4		Percent				Percent
Lahal	Cating at a	Margin of	Danas = 4	Margin of	Catina ata	Margin of	Danasant	Margin of
Label	Estimate	Error	Percent	Error	Estimate	Error	Percent	Error
SEX AND AGE (Census Table DP05)								
Total population	26,660	±1,698	26,660	(X)	30,091	±1,814	30,091	(X)
Male	13,555	±1,057	50.8%	±2.0	14,437	±912	48.0%	±1.6
Female	13,105	±936	49.2%	±2.0	15,654	±1,144	52.0%	±1.6
Sex ratio (males per 100 females)	103.4	±8.2	(X)	(X)	92.2	±6.0	(X)	(X)
Under 5 years	1,626	±348	6.1%	±1.2	1,792	±419	6.0%	±1.4
5 to 9 years	1,493	±258	5.6%	±0.9	1,986	±475	6.6%	±1.4
10 to 14 years	1,532	±243	5.7%	±0.8	1,905	±371	6.3%	±1.2
15 to 19 years	1,737	±323	6.5%	±1.1	1,722	±371	5.7%	±1.2
20 to 24 years	1,725	±289	6.5%	±1.1	1,806	±287	6.0%	±0.9
25 to 34 years	4,069	±647	15.3%	±2.0	4,298	±615	14.3%	±1.9
35 to 44 years	3,221	±443	12.1%	±1.5	3,617	±387	12.0%	±1.2
45 to 54 years	3,834	±418	14.4%	±1.7	3,974	±506	13.2%	±1.5
55 to 59 years	2,029	±339	7.6%	±1.1	1,879	±338	6.2%	±1.1
60 to 64 years	1,722	±270	6.5%	±0.9	2,407	±437	8.0%	±1.5
65 to 74 years	2,550	±430	9.6%	±1.4	2,866	±509	9.5%	±1.6
75 to 84 years	626	±145	2.3%	±0.5	1,291	±368	4.3%	±1.2
85 years and over	496	±254	1.9%	±0.9	548	±195	1.8%	±0.6
Median age (years)	38.6	±2.0	(X)	(X)	39.2	±2.2	(X)	(X)
RACE (Census Table DP05)								
Total population	26,660	±1,698	26,660	(X)	30,091	±1,814	30,091	(X)
One race	22,098	±1,492	82.9%	±3.4	25,164	±1,593	83.6%	±3.1
Two or more races	4,562	±1,028	17.1%	±3.4	4,927	±1,025	16.4%	±3.1
One race	22,098	±1,492	82.9%	±3.4	25,164	±1,593	83.6%	±3.1
White	4,601	±671	17.3%	±2.3	7,645	±950	25.4%	±3.1
Black or African American	1,361	±432	5.1%	±1.6	2,233	±646	7.4%	±2.2
American Indian and Alaska Native	153	±107	0.6%	±0.4	546	±453	1.8%	±1.5
Asian	8,715	±1,264	32.7%	±4.2	8,849	±1,152	29.4%	±3.3
Native Hawaiian and Other Pacific Islander	30	±42	0.1%	±0.2	0	±28	0.0%	±0.1
Some other race	7,238	±1,064	27.1%	±3.8	5,891	±1,121	19.6%	±3.4
Two or more races	4,562	±1,028	17.1%	±3.4	4,927	±1,025	16.4%	±3.1
HISPANIC OR LATINO AND RACE (Census Table DP05)								
Total population	26,660	±1,698	26,660	(X)	30,091	±1,814	30,091	(X)
Hispanic or Latino (of any race)	11,535	±1,306	43.3%	±4.1	11,247	±1,436	37.4%	±4.1
Not Hispanic or Latino	15,125	±1,480	56.7%	±4.1	18,844	±1,633	62.6%	±4.1
					ļ		ļ	<u> </u>
HEALTH INSURANCE COVERAGE (Census Table DP03)					<del> </del>			
Civilian noninstitutionalized population	26,643	±1,697	26,643	(X)	30,091	±1,814	30,091	(X)
With health insurance coverage	23,935	±1,576	89.8%	±2.0	27,374	±1,888	91.0%	±2.1
With private health insurance	14,711	±1,220	55.2%	±3.7	17,489	±1,411	58.1%	±3.7
With public coverage	11,777	±1,226	44.2%	±3.4	12,557	±1,441	41.7%	±3.4
No health insurance coverage	2,708	±559	10.2%	±2.0	2,717	±625	9.0%	±2.1
DISABILITY STATUS OF THE CIVILIAN NONINSTITUTIONALIZED							-	
POPULATION (Census Table DP02)								
Total Civilian Noninstitutionalized Population	26,643	±1,697	26,643	(X)	30,091	±1,814	30,091	(X)
With a disability	2,436	±383	9.1%	±1.3	3,520	±529	11.7%	±1.7

GEO_ID	NAME	DP03_0119PE	DP03_0119PM	DP03_0062E	DP03_0062M	DP03_0074PE	DP03_0074PM
Geography	ZCTA Name	Percent!!PERCENTAGE OF FAMILIES AND PEOPLE WHOSE INCOME IN THE PAST 12 MONTHS IS BELOW THE POVERTY LEVEL!!All families	Percent Margin of Error!!PERCENTAGE OF FAMILIES AND PEOPLE WHOSE INCOME IN THE PAST 12 MONTHS IS BELOW THE POVERTY LEVEL!!All f	Estimate!!INCOME AND BENEFITS (IN 2022 INFLATION-ADJUSTED DOLLARS)!!Total households!!Median household income (dollars)	Margin of Error!!!NCOME AND BENEFITS (IN 2022 INFLATION-ADJUSTED DOLLARS)!!Total households!!Median household income (dollars)	Percent!!INCOME AND BENEFITS (IN 2022 INFLATION-ADJUSTED DOLLARS)!!Total households!!With Food Stamp/SNAP benefits in the past	Percent Margin of Error!!INCOME AND BENEFITS (IN 2022 INFLATION-ADJUSTED DOLLARS)!!Total households!!With Food Stamp/SNAP benef
860Z200US11201	ZCTA5 11201	6.6	2.1	163310	8192	7.7	1
860Z200US11203	ZCTA5 11203	11.7	2	68006	2758	18.1	1.6
860Z200US11204	ZCTA5 11204	14.7	2.7	64172	4094	23.8	2.4
860Z200US11205	ZCTA5 11205	22.5	3.6	74839	5527	23.8	2.6
860Z200US11206	ZCTA5 11206	32.6	3	51507	3761	34.3	1.9
860Z200US11207	ZCTA5 11207	21.9	2.7	52569	3338	33	2.3
860Z200US11208	ZCTA5 11208	19.1	2.5	56298	3019	31.8	2.8
860Z200US11209	ZCTA5 11209	7.9	2.2	88546	4912	11.1	1.2
860Z200US11210	ZCTA5 11210	8	1.6	82904	6100	16.2	1.9
860Z200US11211	ZCTA5 11211	21	2.8	94824	7298	20.2	2
860Z200US11212	ZCTA5 11212	26.4	3.8	35840	4017	43.5	2.9
860Z200US11213	ZCTA5 11213	19.3	3.2	54211	4112	26.5	2.7
860Z200US11214	ZCTA5 11214	15.5	1.9	60152	2743	25.8	1.9
860Z200US11215	ZCTA5 11215	3.5	1.4	173899	7449	3.7	0.9
860Z200US11216	ZCTA5 11216	10.5	2.3	92684	5556	14.3	1.6
860Z200US11217	ZCTA5 11217	6.8	2	152735	9472	9.9	1.9
860Z200US11218	ZCTA5 11218	9.5	2.2	87759	6526	15.3	2.1
860Z200US11219	ZCTA5 11219	27.7	2.6	51194	4766	34.2	2.7
860Z200US11220	ZCTA5 11220	19.2	2.2	62804	2844	26	1.8
860Z200US11221	ZCTA5 11221	19.1	3.1	77600	5699	21.6	1.8
860Z200US11222	ZCTA5 11222	4.7	1.7	126250	10193	6.7	1.6
860Z200US11223	ZCTA5 11223	16.4	2	61356	4590	27.4	2
860Z200US11224	ZCTA5 11224	21.6	3.8	40467	3910	43.4	3.4
860Z200US11225	ZCTA5 11225	11.2	3.1	82716	7405	16.7	2.3
860Z200US11226	ZCTA5 11226	10.2	1.7	75947	4212	25	1.7
860Z200US11228	ZCTA5 11228	10.3	2	83980	6446	12.5	1.8
860Z200US11229	ZCTA5 11229	11.9	1.5	72799	5187	20.3	1.7
860Z200US11230	ZCTA5 11230	14.3	1.8	65051	3665	24.5	2.1
860Z200US11231	ZCTA5 11231	12.5	3.7	130396	9536	15.6	1.9
860Z200US11232	ZCTA5 11232	12.4	2.8	79599	10768	18.7	3.3
860Z200US11233	ZCTA5 11233	20	2.9	57812	5074	26.7	2.3
860Z200US11234	ZCTA5 11234	8.2	1.8	91379	2339	11.1	1.2
860Z200US11235	ZCTA5 11235	13.4	1.8	61320	3114	22.9	1.9
860Z200US11236	ZCTA5 11236	9.9	1.4	79885	4157	17.5	1.6
860Z200US11237	ZCTA5 11237	18.5	3.4	79136	6178	19.5	2.7
860Z200US11238	ZCTA5 11238	7.2	2.1	125747	8082	10.8	1.8
860Z200US11239	ZCTA5 11239	18.3	7.1	38670	6277	42.5	4.5
860Z200US11249	ZCTA5 11249	17.8	3.9	113946	5991	19.6	2.6

GEO_ID	NAME	DP03_0005PE	DP03_0005PM	DP02_0067PE	DP02_0067PM	DP04_0058PE	DP04_0058PM
Geography	ZCTA Name	Percent!!EMPLOYMENT STATUS!!Population 16 years and over!!In labor force!!Civilian labor force!!Unemployed	Percent Margin of Error!!EMPLOYMENT STATUS!!Population 16 years and over!!In labor force!!Civilian labor force!!Unemployed	Percent!!EDUCATIONAL ATTAINMENT!!Population 25 years and over!!High school graduate or higher	Percent Margin of Error!!EDUCATIONAL ATTAINMENT!!Population 25 years and over!!High school graduate or higher	Percent!!VEHICLES AVAILABLE!!Occupied housing units!!No vehicles available	Percent Margin of Error!!VEHICLES AVAILABLE!!Occupied housing units!!No vehicles available
860Z200US11201	ZCTA5 11201	4	0.9	95.1	0.7	67.5	2.1
860Z200US11203	ZCTA5 11203	4.1	0.7	87.9	1.1	49.7	2.4
860Z200US11204	ZCTA5 11204	4.6	0.7	73.4	1.7	43.1	2.6
860Z200US11205	ZCTA5 11205	5.7	1	85	2	67.3	2.3
860Z200US11206	ZCTA5 11206	5.3	1	75.7	1.9	70.7	2.7
860Z200US11207	ZCTA5 11207	6.5	0.9	80.9	1.8	57.8	2.5
860Z200US11208	ZCTA5 11208	3.7	0.7	82.3	1.6	56.4	2.5
860Z200US11209	ZCTA5 11209	3.6	0.6	89.4	1.1	47	2.2
860Z200US11210	ZCTA5 11210	4.4	0.6	89.1	1.2	41.9	2.8
860Z200US11211	ZCTA5 11211	4.6	0.9	84	1.8	69.9	2.2
860Z200US11212	ZCTA5 11212	7.8	1.6	76.2	1.9	70	2.7
860Z200US11213	ZCTA5 11213	6.8	1	82.4	1.9	69	2.8
860Z200US11214	ZCTA5 11214	4.2	0.7	76	1.7	44.2	1.9
860Z200US11215	ZCTA5 11215	5.1	0.8	95.4	0.8	50.3	2.6
860Z200US11216	ZCTA5 11216	4.7	0.9	90.5	1.2	65.4	2.4
860Z200US11217	ZCTA5 11217	4.6	1.6	91.9	1.1	68.9	2.7
860Z200US11218	ZCTA5 11218	4.2	0.7	85.6	1.6	48	2.6
860Z200US11219	ZCTA5 11219	4.4	0.8	69.9	2.4	52.4	2.7
860Z200US11220	ZCTA5 11220	4.8	0.9	62.1	1.6	61.7	2.1
860Z200US11221	ZCTA5 11221	5.9	0.9	84.5	1.4	62.7	2.2
860Z200US11222	ZCTA5 11222	5.7	1.2	93.1	1.6	59.3	2.5
860Z200US11223	ZCTA5 11223	4.9	0.8	77.2	1.7	41.8	2
860Z200US11224	ZCTA5 11224	4.6	1.1	82.3	2.3	55.8	3.6
860Z200US11225	ZCTA5 11225	4.5	0.9	88.5	1.9	66.2	2.9
860Z200US11226	ZCTA5 11226	4	0.6	86.1	1.3	66.1	1.6
860Z200US11228	ZCTA5 11228	3.5	0.8	81	1.9	29.5	2.7
860Z200US11229	ZCTA5 11229	2.6	0.4	87.2	1.3	40.1	2.1
860Z200US11230	ZCTA5 11230	3.8	0.4	82.8	2	47.6	2.1
860Z200US11231	ZCTA5 11231	5.6	1.5	90.1	1.7	54.7	3.2
860Z200US11232	ZCTA5 11232	4.8	1	69	3.3	62.9	5.4
860Z200US11233	ZCTA5 11233	4.3	0.8	85.1	1.4	63	2.7
860Z200US11234	ZCTA5 11234	3.2	0.5	88.5	1.2	25.9	1.8
860Z200US11235	ZCTA5 11235	3.2	0.5	89.6	1.1	47.6	2.1
860Z200US11236	ZCTA5 11236	3.9	0.5	88.1	0.9	34.7	1.9
860Z200US11237	ZCTA5 11237	5.5	0.8	76.3	2.3	66.7	3
860Z200US11238	ZCTA5 11238	5.1	1	93	1.3	65.5	2.5
860Z200US11239	ZCTA5 11239	4	2.1	86.5	3.3	67.3	4.4
860Z200US11249	ZCTA5 11249	5.1	1.1	86.5	2.2	62.4	2.7